Exhibit 20

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-26	î F	Filed 08/17/16 Page 2 of 33 PageID #: 4799
		1	3
		2	STIPULATIONS
	1	3	
	2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NEW YORK FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3X		IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by
	4 MARTIN TANKLEFF,	4	and among counsel for the respective parties
	5 Plaintiff,	5	hereto, that the filing, sealing and
	6 -against-	6	certification of the within deposition shall
	7 THE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK, K. JAMES McCREADY,	7	be and the same are hereby waived;
	NORMAN REIN, CHARLES KOSCIUK, ROBERT DOYLE, 3 JOHN MCLELHONE, JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS	8	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
	#1-10, RICHARD ROE SUFFOLK COUNTY EMPLOYEES 9 #1-10,	9	all objections, except as to form of the
	10 Defendants.	10	question, shall be reserved to the time of
	11X	11	the trial;
	12 666 Old Country Road	12	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
	13 Garden City, New York	13	the within deposition may be signed before
	14 April 14, 2014	14	any Notary Public with the same force and
	15 9:30 a.m.	15	effect as if signed and sworn to before the
	16 DEPOSITION of MIKE CARMODY, a Non-Party	16	Court.
	Witness herein, taken by the Plaintiff,	17	* * *
	18 pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 19 and Notice, held at the above-mentioned time	18	
	20 and place, before Dolly Fevola, Notary	19	
	21 Public of the State of New York.	20	
	22		
	23	21	
	24	22	
	25	23	
		24	
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576	25	
			FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
1	2		4
2	APPEARANCES:	1	M. Carmody
3		2	MICHAEL CARMODY, after
	NEUFELD SCHECK BRUSTIN, LLP	3	having been first duly sworn by a Notary
4	Attorneys for the Plaintiff	4	Public of the State of New York, was
5	666 Old Country Road Garden City, New York 11530	5	examined and testified as follows:
	BY: BARRY POLLACK, ESQ.	6	EXAMINATION BY
6	BRUCE BARKET, ESQ.	7	MR. POLLACK:
7		8	Q State your name for the record,
	CHEEOLY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAW	9	please?
8	SUFFOLK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAW Attorneys for the Defendants	10	A Mike Carmody.
9	H. Lee Dennison Building	11	Q State your address, please.
10	Hauppauge, New York BY: BRIAN MITCHELL, ESQ.	12	A 30 Yaphank Avenue.
10	DI. BRIAN PITCHELL, ESQ.	13	MR. MITCHELL: And just for the
11	N GO PRESENT	14	record, in the event there comes a
12	ALSO PRESENT:	15	time that if you try the case and
	MARTIN TANKLEFF	16	you want the retired Detective
13		17	Carmody, we'll agree to produce him.
14		18	
15			MR. POLLACK: Mr Carmody, my
16 17		19	name is Barry Pollack and I
18		20	represent the Plaintiff, Martin
19		21	Tankleff.
20 21		22	At any point during the
22		23	deposition, if I ask you a question
23		24	and you don't understand it, let me
24 25		25	know and I will rephrase the
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

	- Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-2	р г	-iled 08/17/16 Page 3 of 33 PageID #: 4800
	o		,
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	question for you. If you don't ask	2	taken on that date?
3	me to do so, I'll assume that you	3	A Yes.
4	understood the question. Does that	4	Q And if you look at the first
5	make sense?	5	page you had indicated a moment ago that you
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.	6	thought you initially heard of the incident
7	Q I'd like to start by going back	7	from a phone call from Detective Doyle.
_		,	•
8	to September of 1988. At that point, how	8	Does this change your recollection on that
9	long had you been a homicide detective for	9	at all?
10	Suffolk County.	10	A Yes.
11	A Approximately 20 years.	11	Q Go ahead and tell us what your
12	Q How did you first hear of the	12	current recollection is.
13	incident at the Tankleff residence?	13	A My notes indicate that I
14	A I received a phone call at	14	received a call from the duty officer,
15	home.	15	Sergeant looks like Seits.
16	Q Received a phone call from	16	Q What do you recall you learned
	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	whom?	17	in that phone call?
18	A I believe it was from Sergeant	18	A Just that there was a possible
19	Doyle.	19	homicide at 33 Seaside Drive, Belle Terre.
20	Q I'm going to mark this as	20	Q What did you do as a result of
21	Exhibit Carmody 1.	21	receiving that phone call?
22	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's	22	A Got dressed and headed out to
23	Exhibit, Carmody 1, was marked for	23	pick up my partner, John Pfalzgraf, at his
24	identification.)	24	residence in Lindenhurst.
25	Q Mr Carmody, go ahead and take	25	Q Okay. And did you speak to
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	6		8
4	-		
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed	2	M. Carmody anyone else at that point?
	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and	_	M. Carmody anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir.
2	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be	2	M. Carmody anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as
2	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and	2 3	M. Carmody anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir.
2 3 4	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be	2 3 4	M. Carmody anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes?	2 3 4 5	M. Carmody anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this?	2 3 4 5 6	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date of the Tankleff incident? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date of the Tankleff incident? A Yes. Q That date was early morning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date of the Tankleff incident? A Yes. Q That date was early morning September 7, 1988?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date of the Tankleff incident? A Yes. Q That date was early morning September 7, 1988?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? A No. Q You don't recall that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as much time as you need, but I've handed you what we marked as Carmody Exhibit 1 and my first question is just going to be whether or not you recognize these notes? A It looks like my handwriting. You want me to read all this? Q Take as much time just to flip through it and see if you can verify if those are, in fact, your notes. A Okay. These are my notes, a copy. Q And when were these notes taken? A Taken? You mean when I wrote them? Q Yes, when did you originally write these notes? Were these contemporaneous notes you took on the date of the Tankleff incident? A Yes. Q That date was early morning September 7, 1988? A Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	anyone else at that point? A Not that I recall, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mark this as Carmody 2. (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 2, was marked for identification.) Q Mr. Carmody, it's several pages. So Carmody 2 is an excerpt from testimony from Detective Sergeant Doyle and I want to just refer you to the page that has 2604 at the top upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q And if you look at the answer that he gives on lines 23 and 24, he says, I received a call from Detective Carmody at approximately 7:05 a.m. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you spoke to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? A No. Q You don't recall that conversation at all?

1	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-2	6 	Filed 08/17/16 Page 8 of 33 PageID #: 4805
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	that in Bay Shore where a husband had killed	2	form, the form of the question in
3	his wife with a hammer, very similar, and	3	that the phrase "identical" is in a
4	that's why it stuck in my mind. He said	4	memorandum from a gentleman named
5	that it was because it was a circular	5	Kenneth Christopherson.
6	depression that appeared to be like a	6	MR. POLLACK: I get the
7	hammer-type wound. He did not say it was a	7	speaking objection.
8	hammer, he just said it appeared to be that	8	Q Do you recall making this
9	type of form or an instrument that was	9	statement to the State Investigation
10	circular.	10	Commission?
11	Q Did you discuss with Detective	11	A I would never say identical. I
12	Pfalzgraf the fact that you believe that Dr.	12	know that because
13	Roth's statement about a hammer-type	13	Q What do you believe you said?
14	instrument was significant?	14	A Appeared to be like a
15	A I may have but I don't recall.	15	hammer-type blow or something consistent to
16	I don't have any recollection.	16	that, something round, circular. That's not
17	MR. POLLACK: Let's go ahead	17	my That would not be I would not say
18	and mark that Carmody 5.	18	exactly. That might be this gentlemen's
19	(Whereupon, Carmody Exhibit 5	19	interpretation of what I relayed to him.
20	was marked for identification.)	20	Q So what you believe you relayed
21	Q Mr Carmody, I'll give you	21	to him was that you concluded that Seymour
22	another exhibit here. Do you recall being	22	Tankleff's wound was consistent with the
23	interviewed in 2008 by the State of New York	23	victim?
24	Commission of Investigation	24	A They were similar.
25	A Yes.	25	Q Similar to the victim in the
23	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576	23	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	26		28
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	Q with respect to the Tankleff	2	Bay Shore case?
3	case?	3	A Yes, because the one I had
4	A Yes, sir.	4	handled in Bay Shore was circular also.
5	Q And on the first page in the	5	Q So my question is, how did you
6	last paragraph about the middle of the	6	
7			reach the conclusion that they were similar
	paragraph it says, both Carmody and the	7	if you had not been able to see Seymour
8	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered	7 8	,
8 9	, - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 8 9	if you had not been able to see Seymour
_	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered	•	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds?
9	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by	9	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The
9	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object.	9	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays.
9 10 11	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had	9 10 11	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur?
9 10 11 12	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case	9 10 11 12	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on
9 10 11 12 13	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his	9 10 11 12 13	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the
9 10 11 12 13 14	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to	9 10 11 12 13 14	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct. Q How is it that you concluded	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did. Q And so you looked at an X-ray
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct. Q How is it that you concluded that Seymour Tankleff's wounds were	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did. Q And so you looked at an X-ray that Dr. Roth showed you of Seymour and that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct. Q How is it that you concluded that Seymour Tankleff's wounds were identical to the those of the victim in the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did. Q And so you looked at an X-ray that Dr. Roth showed you of Seymour and that X-ray looked to you similar to the X-ray you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct. Q How is it that you concluded that Seymour Tankleff's wounds were identical to the those of the victim in the Bay Shore case that you had previously	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did. Q And so you looked at an X-ray that Dr. Roth showed you of Seymour and that X-ray looked to you similar to the X-ray you had seen in the Bay Shore case?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	doctor concluded that Tankleff had suffered blunt force trauma to his head caused by hammer-type object. According to Carmody, he had previously investigated a Bay Shore case where a husband used a hammer to murder his wife and her injuries were identical to Tankleffs. Now, you indicated earlier you were not able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds. A Correct. Q How is it that you concluded that Seymour Tankleff's wounds were identical to the those of the victim in the Bay Shore case that you had previously investigated?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if you had not been able to see Seymour Tankleff's wounds? A I had seen the X-rays. The doctor showed me X-rays. Q When did that occur? A At that time, he had them on the screen and he showed me the injury, the X-ray injury. It looked similar to the one that I had seen years earlier. Q Looked similar to the X-ray you had seen earlier? Had you seen an X-ray in the Bay Shore case? A I believe I did. Q And so you looked at an X-ray that Dr. Roth showed you of Seymour and that X-ray looked to you similar to the X-ray you had seen in the Bay Shore case? A Yes.

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-2	6 F	Filed 08/17/16 Page 9 of 33 PageID #: 4806
1	29 M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	reflect having seen an X-ray?	2	Now, is that something that Dr.
3	A No.	3	Roth said to you, that Dr. Roth stated that
4	Q Does your supplemental report	4	he felt the injury was caused by a
5	reflect having seen an X-ray?	5	hammer-type instrument?
6	A No, I don't think it does.	6	MR. MITCHELL: Objection to
7	Q If you were basing your	7	form.
8	conclusion as to the similarity of the	8	A No, he did not say it was a
9	injuries on your examination of the X-ray,	9	hammer-type instrument. He said it had the
10	why do neither of your notes or the	10	appearance of a hammer-type blow because of
11	supplemental report even reflect the fact	11	the circular depression of the skull.
12	that you had seen an X-ray?	12	Q Okay.
13	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	13	A He did not say it was a hammer.
14	form. You can answer.	14	Q All right.
15	A I can't answer why I didn't put	15	A He just said it appeared to be
16	a note.	16	like a hammer-type injury.
17	Q Did you inform Detective	17	Q Okay. My question did not say
18	Sergeant Doyle about the information that	18	that he said it was a hammer.
19	you had learned from your conversation with	19	A Right, okay.
20	Dr. Roth?	20	Q My question was, you recall Dr.
21	A I don't recall now speaking to	21	Roth stating that Well, let me do it this
22	him but I'm sure I did. I'm sure I relayed to him what I had talked to Dr. Roth about.	22 23	Way.
23 24	MR. POLLACK: Mark this as	24	Your supplemental report has this sentence: "Dr. Roth stated he felt the
25	Carmody 6.	25	head injury was caused by a hammer-type
23	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576	23	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	30		32
1	M. Carmody		
	Wi. Odimody	1	M. Carmody
2	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's	2	M. Carmody instrument because of the circular shaped
	•	_	•
2	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's	2	instrument because of the circular shaped
2 3	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for	2 3	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull."
2 3 4	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of	2 3 4	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the throat which he describes as extending almost completely around the victim's neck." And then, the paragraph goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not. Q You came to that conclusion after Dr. Roth said it? A I'm a little confused here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the throat which he describes as extending almost completely around the victim's neck." And then, the paragraph goes onto say, it says, "Dr. Roth stated that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not. Q You came to that conclusion after Dr. Roth said it? A I'm a little confused here. Repeat that again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the throat which he describes as extending almost completely around the victim's neck." And then, the paragraph goes onto say, it says, "Dr. Roth stated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not. Q You came to that conclusion after Dr. Roth said it? A I'm a little confused here. Repeat that again. Q You, yourself, came to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the throat which he describes as extending almost completely around the victim's neck." And then, the paragraph goes onto say, it says, "Dr. Roth stated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument because of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not. Q You came to that conclusion after Dr. Roth said it? A I'm a little confused here. Repeat that again. Q You, yourself, came to the conclusion that you believe that the injury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit, Carmody 6, was marked for identification.) Q Mr Carmody, this is simply an excerpt from a deposition that was taken of Detective Sergeant Doyle, and I'm going to look starting with Page 268 in the upper right-hand corner. Detective Doyle is showing your supplemental report and the question says, if you look at the second paragraph it says, "At 8:30 hours the undersigned entered the hospital's emergency room and interviewed Dr. Robert Roth and Dr. Roth stated that the victim was brought into the emergency room with a depressed skull fracture to the back of the head and a deep laceration of the throat which he describes as extending almost completely around the victim's neck." And then, the paragraph goes onto say, it says, "Dr. Roth stated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	instrument because of the circular shaped depression of the skull." Is that your recollection that Dr. Roth indicated that he felt that the head injury was caused by a hammer-type instrument? MR. MITCHELL: Objection to form. You can answer. A If that's what my report said, then that's more accurate than what I could tell you today. That's what he felt. Q Had you already come to the conclusion that you thought that the injuries were consistent with a hammer-type instrument before Dr. Roth voiced the same thing? A Absolutely not. Q You came to that conclusion after Dr. Roth said it? A I'm a little confused here. Repeat that again. Q You, yourself, came to the

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 10 of 33 PageID #:
1	M. Carmody	307	M. Carmody
2	that not correct?	2	Sergeant Doyle?"
3	A No. I said appearance of a	3	A This is on Page 269?
4	hammer-type blow, but it did not have to be	4	Q It starts at 268 and carries
5	a hammer because it had the circular	5	over to 269.
6	depression.	6	A I see that.
7	Q Okay. So help me out here.	7	MR. MITCHELL: Your question
8	I'm a little confused. You, yourself, you	8	is, obviously, does that portion of
9	came to the conclusion that the wound	9	Detective Doyle's deposition refresh
10	appeared to have been caused by a	10	his recollection if he called at
11	hammer-type instrument?	11	9:00 or 9:30 or you're just asking
12	A Something similar.	12	if he called at 9:00 or 9:30?
13	Q Something similar to a	13	Q My question is, do you recall
14	hammer-type?	14	speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that
15	A Something that was round that	15	morning?
16	hit him in the head, circular.	16	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the
17	Q Okay. So you only came to the	17	form. You can answer.
18	conclusion it was something round and	18	MR. POLLACK: What is
19	circular. You did not come to the	19	objectionable about the form of the
20	conclusion that it was a hammer-type	20	question, do you recall whether or
21	instrument?	21	not you spoke to Detective Doyle?
22	A That it possibly could be. It	22	MR. MITCHELL: That question
23	was very consistent with what I had seen	23	itself combined with the question
24	earlier.	24	before.
25	Q So you came to the conclusion	25	MR. POLLACK: It's not combined
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	34		36
1	M. Cormody	4	I
	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	that it was very consistent with it being a	2	M. Carmody with anything. The pending question
_	·		·
2	that it was very consistent with it being a	2	with anything. The pending question
3	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument?	2	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to
2 3 4	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure.	2 3 4	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that
2 3 4 5	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you	2 3 4 5	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning?
2 3 4 5 6	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave	2 3 4 5 6	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're
2 3 4 5 6 7	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, then on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, I don't object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, I don't object. MR. POLLACK: Great.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do you mean by that? A. 9:00 - 9:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, then on that simple question, I don't object. MR. POLLACK: Great. MR. MITCHELL: I'm asking you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do you mean by that? A. 9:00 - 9:30. Q. Do you recall around 9:00	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, I don't object. MR. POLLACK: Great. MR. MITCHELL: I'm asking you to clarify for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do you mean by that? A. 9:00 - 9:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, then on that simple question, I don't object. MR. POLLACK: Great. MR. MITCHELL: I'm asking you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that it was very consistent with it being a hammer-type instrument? A Sure. Q And my question is, did you come to that conclusion before Dr. Roth gave you his conclusion? A I don't recall. Q Go back to Page 268 of the Doyle deposition when you spoke to either Detective Pfalzgraf or Carmody: "Q. Did they relay to you all the information that I just read from that report? A. Yes. Q. And when, to the best of your recollection, was that information relayed to you? A. Sometime early that morning. Q. When you say early, what do you mean by that? A. 9:00 - 9:30.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with anything. The pending question is, do you recall speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning? MR. MITCHELL: So you're withdrawing the previous question? MR. POLLACK: That's the only pending question. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not trying to play games. I'm saying, are you withdrawing the previous question or is it a two-part question that was interrupted with my objection, because all I'm saying is if you were asking, does that portion of the deposition refresh his recollection, that, I don't object to. If you're asking him the pending question, then on that simple question, I don't object. MR. POLLACK: Great. MR. MITCHELL: I'm asking you

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-1S-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 11 of 33 PageID #:
	37 48	08	7 mod 55/17/15 1 ago 11 51 55 1 ago 12 m
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	question to which Mr. Mitchell does	2	had said that Jerry Steuerman had threatened
3	not object.	3	him a few weeks prior to the attack?
4	Q The question is, do you recall	4	A No, sir.
5	speaking to Detective Sergeant Doyle that	5	Q At any point in the
6	morning?	6	investigation, did you review Detective
7	A No.	7	Pfalzgraf's notes from his interviews at the
8	Q Okay. You don't recall one way	8	hospital?
9	or the other?	9	A I don't recall.
10	A I probably did but I don't	10	Q Would that have been part of
11	recall now. And that does not refresh my	11	your practice at the time?
12	recollection.	12	A No, not necessarily.
13	Q When you say you probably did,	13	Q What about reviewing your
14	what is that based on? That would have been	14	partner's supplemental reports? Would that
15	your practice?	15	have been part of your practice?
16	A Of course.	16	A Not always, no.
17	Q And based on your practice,	17	Q Okay. When you say "not
18	would you have advised him of the	18	always," what would determine whether or not
19	information that you learned from Dr. Roth?	19	you would review your partner's notes?
20	A Yes.	20	A If it was a case that we
21	Q Do you recall speaking to a	21	detectives are on together, I would be more
22	family member at the hospital, Ron Rother?	22	interested in his reports but, in this case,
23	A No, sir.	23 24	we were assisting on another lead detective's case so I wouldn't have that
24	Q Do you recall meeting a Ron Rother at the hospital?	24 25	
25	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576	25	much interest in that genre.
	FEVOLA REFORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (031) 124-1310		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
			40
1	38	1	40 M. Carmody
1 2	38 M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
2	M. Carmody A No.	1 2 3	M. Carmody Q Would it have been your
	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any	1 2 3 4	M. Carmody Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which
2 3 4	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the	3 4	M. Carmody Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital?	3 4 5	M. Carmody Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some
2 3 4	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No.	3 4	M. Carmody Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective	3 4 5	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did	3 4 5 6 7	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances. Q You don't recall one way or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances. Q You don't recall one way or the area whether you and Detective Pfalzgraf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No, I don't recall that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances. Q You don't recall one way or the area whether you and Detective Pfalzgraf shared the information that you had learned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No, I don't recall that. Q Do you recall learning from any	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances. Q You don't recall one way or the area whether you and Detective Pfalzgraf shared the information that you had learned from various people at the hospital with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Carmody A No. Q Do you recall meeting any members of the Tankleff family at the hospital? A No. Q Were you and Detective Pfalzgraf together at the hospital or did you separate at some point and do separate interviews? A I believe we separated. Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf report to you that he had had a conversation with a family member by the name of Ron Rother? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Mr. Rother had told him that Seymour Tankleff had reported that Jerry Steuerman had threatened him five or six weeks earlier? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No, I don't recall that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Would it have been your practice if you did interviews for which Detective Pfalzgraf was not present, would it have been your practice to give him some sort of summary of what you learned in those interviews, whether or not it's in writing or orally? A Could you rephrase that? I'm sorry. Q Sure. In a case in which you were not lead detective? A Yes. Q And you were working with Detective Pfalzgraf, if you did an interview for which he was not present, after the interview would you tell him what you learned in the interview? A I really can't answer that because it would depend on circumstances. Q You don't recall one way or the area whether you and Detective Pfalzgraf shared the information that you had learned

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 12 of 33 PageID #:
	48	09	43
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	A We may have a little bit but I	2	testimony refreshes your recollection on
3	don't recall.	3	whether or not you relayed to Detective
4	MR. MITCHELL: Do me a favor,	4	Sergeant Doyle information that had come
5	as Mr. Pollack just said, clarify it	5	from Ronald Rother?
6	when you do those answers one way or	6	A No, it doesn't.
7	the other or I don't recall, one way	7	MR. POLLACK: I'm going to mark
8	or the other, just so it's clear.	8	this as Carmody 7.
9	A I don't recall one way or the	9	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's
10	other.	10	Exhibit, Carmody 7, was marked for
11	Q Did you learn from any source	11	identification.)
1	- ,		•
12	that Mr. Rother had stated that he believed	12	Q My first question, Mr Carmody,
13	that Jerry Steuerman was involved in the	13	again, take as much time as you need,
14	attack on the Tankleffs?	14	simply, do you recognize these as being
15	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	15	notes that you took or a copy of notes that
16	form.	16	you took?
17	A No.	17	A These are my handwriting. I
18	Q Do you recall learning from any	18	don't even recall these. They are my notes.
19	source that any family member or anyone else	19	Q Putting aside what you
20	had expressed the view that Jerry Steuerman	20	presently remember, you recognize those as
21	might have been involved in the attacks?	21	being your notes?
22	A Not that I recall.	22	A It's my handwriting, yes.
23	Q And so I take it then you don't	23	Q Can you tell from looking at
24	recall telling Detective Sergeant Doyle that	24	the notes when these notes were taken?
25	you had learned at the hospital that someone	25	A No, I don't have a date on it.
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	40		44
	42		44
1	M. Carmody	1	
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved?		M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88.
2	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying	2	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that?
2 3 4	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that.	2 3 4	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988.
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition	2 3 4 5	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page	2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says
2 3 4 5 6 7	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that Steuerman might have had some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true. Q These notes appear to pertain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that Steuerman might have had some involvement in this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true. Q These notes appear to pertain to the Tankleff investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that Steuerman might have had some involvement in this? A. Correct."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true. Q These notes appear to pertain to the Tankleff investigation? A Yes, they do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that Steuerman might have had some involvement in this? A. Correct." My question is simply whether reviewing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true. Q These notes appear to pertain to the Tankleff investigation? A Yes, they do. Q Would you understand them to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	believed that Steuerman might be involved? A I don't recall ever saying that. Q Look at the Doyle deposition transcript and I'm going to start at Page 320 at the very bottom, the upper right-hand corner. A Okay. Q "Q. And did Carmody or Pfalzgraf also then tell you that Ronald Rother had been spoken to at the hospital? A. Yes, I believe so. Q. And that Ronald Rother had told Carmody and Pfalzgraf that Steuerman was reneging on debt and that the business was turning bad. He may have said something in that vain, and so that would be the third person who had suggested that Steuerman might have had some involvement in this? A. Correct."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	There is no date on here. One says 9/19/88. Q What page is that? A Page 2823. September 19, 1988. MR. MITCHELL: Just for clarification, it actually says 9/19/88. Q Mr Carmody, would it be your practice to have a notebook that you would use for a particular investigation? A Yes Q So A it would. Q So events that occurred in that particular investigation you would take notes in one place? In other words, you would have one notebook for the Tankleff investigation and a different notebook for any other investigations that you had ongoing at the same time? A Yes, that would be true. Q These notes appear to pertain to the Tankleff investigation? A Yes, they do. Q Would you understand them to be FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 13 of 33 PageID #:
١.		10	47
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	in the sequence in which they were taken?	2	from John Pfalzgraf? A Yes.
3	A What was that? Could you	3	
4	repeat that?	4	Q You just don't recall?
5	Q Are they in the order in which	5	A Correct.
6	they were taken? In other words, the first	6	Q Do you recall having
7	page of these notes would have been taken	7	discussions with anybody working on the
8	before the 10th page of these notes and the	8	Tankleff investigation about whether or not
9	10th page of these notes would have been	9	there was bad blood between Jerry Steuerman
10	taken before the last page of these notes?	10	and Seymour Tankleff?
11	A I can't say by looking at this.	11	A No.
12	I really can't, sorry.	12	Q If you move forward a few pages
13	Q Okay. However, one of the	13	to 2847, could you read to me the last line
14	notes indicates specifically that it was	14	of that page?
15	taken on September 18, 1988?	15	A Steuerman and Monti looks
16	A Yes. I believe 19th.	16	like Fusco, F-U-S-C-O, last to leave.
17	Q Okay, thank you.	17	Q Do you know what that is a
18	Let me have you turn to Page	18	reference to?
19	2844 at the bottom.	19	A I believe they were the last to
20	A Okay.	20	leave the house.
21	Q My question is, can you tell	21	Q Who did you learn that
22	from either the pages before or the pages	22	information from?
23	after it when the page that appears at 2844,	23	A According to my notes, from
24	when those notes were taken?	24	J.P., which would be John Pfalzgraf.
25	A No, sir.	25	Q Do you recall discussing with
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	46		48
	40		40
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
	M. Carmody	1 2 3	M. Carmody John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman
2	M. Carmody Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines.	_	M. Carmody
2	M. Carmody Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between	_	M. Carmody John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the
2 3 4	M. Carmody Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines.	3 4	M. Carmody John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988?
2 3 4 5	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John	3 4 5	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988?
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour."	3 4 5 6	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry	3 4 5 6 7 8	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he had learned that there was bad blood between	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he had learned that there was bad blood between Jerry Steuerman and Seymour Tankleff?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No. Q Do you recall a gentleman by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he had learned that there was bad blood between Jerry Steuerman and Seymour Tankleff? A No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No. Q Do you recall a gentleman by the name of Myron Fox being at Mather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he had learned that there was bad blood between Jerry Steuerman and Seymour Tankleff? A No. Q Does this note indicate to you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No. Q Do you recall a gentleman by the name of Myron Fox being at Mather Hospital that morning?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q At the bottom of 2844, can you read to me those last three lines. A "From John. Bad blood between Steuerman and Seymour." Q And would "John" be John Pfalzgraf? A Yes. Q Would Steuerman be Jerry Steuerman? A Yes. Q And Seymour would be Seymour Tankleff? A Yes. Q Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not at some point you learned from Detective Pfalzgraf Well, let me do it this way. Do you recall learning from Detective Pfalzgraf, at some point, that he had learned that there was bad blood between Jerry Steuerman and Seymour Tankleff? A No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	John Pfalzgraf the fact that Jerry Steuerman was at the house into the early morning hours at the Tankleff house into the early morning hours of September 7, 1988? A No, no independent recollection now. Q Do you recall discussing that fact with anyone or learning that fact from anyone? A Again, I may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall taking any steps in your investigation based on an understanding that there was bad blood between Steuerman and Tankleff and that Steuerman was at the house in the early morning hours that day? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A No. Q Do you recall a gentleman by the name of Myron Fox being at Mather

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 18	34 ₇ 26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 14 of 33 PageID #:
4		4811	M. Carrandi.
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	Q Do you recall speaking to	2	shortly?
3	anyone who was a lawyer that had represented	3	A. I believe that's true.
4	Seymour Tankleff in various business deals?	4	Q. And that based on that, Mr.
5	A No, sir.	5	Fox believed that Mr. Steuerman
6	Q Do you recall Detective	6	might have a motive to commit
7	Pfalzgraf telling you that he had spoken to	7	murders?
8	a lawyer for the Tankleff family at the	8	A. I don't know specifically if
9	hospital?	9	he said that, that he had a motive
10	A No, sir, I don't recall that.	10	to commit murders.
	_	_	
11	•	11	Q. Did he convey to Pfalzgraf
12	represented Seymour in various business	12	or Carmody, to your understanding,
13	deals?	13	that he believed that Steuerman
14	A No.	14	might have been involved?
15	Q Do you recall learning at any	15	A. To my understanding in so
16	point that Mr. Fox had said that Jerry	16	many words, yes."
17	Steuerman was supposed to make a substantial	17	Does that refresh your
18	payment to Seymour Tankleff in the near	18	recollection as to whether or not you
19	future?	19	informed Detective Sergeant Doyle that you
20	A No, sir.	20	had learned from Myron Fox that Steuerman
	Q Do you recall Mr. Fox offering	21	might have been involved in the Tankleff
21	, ,		_
22	to provide paperwork related to that debt?	22	murders?
23	A No.	23	A No.
24	Q Do you recall informing	24	Q Again, just to be clear, you
25	Detective Sergeant Doyle that morning that	25	don't recall one way or the other?
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-757	'6	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	50		52
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	Mr. Fox believed that Mr. Steuerman was	2	A One way or the other what?
3	involved in the Tankleff attacks?	3	Q In other words, you may have
4	A No.	4	relayed that information to Detective Doyle
5	Q Go ahead and look at Doyle's	5	and you just don't recall?
6	deposition transcript starting at Page 319.	6	A I don't ever remember relaying
7	A Okay.	7	anything to Detective Sergeant Doyle about
8	Q Starting in the middle of the	8	this subject matter.
9	page:	9	Q I want to make sure I
10	"Q. Do you recall getting a	10	understand that. Are you saying that you
11	report from the hospital that we	11	didn't or simply that you don't recall it?
12	talked about this morning either	12	A I have to say I don't recall.
13	from Carmody or Pfalzgraf?	13	I probably didn't because I don't recall at
14	A. Yes.	14	all.
15	Q. Did whoever gave you that	15	Q Okay.
16	report tell you that they	16	A It does not even at all ring a
17	interviewed Myron Fox at the	17	bell to me.
	hospital?		_
18	•	18	,
19	A. Yes, I believe they did.	19	information, forgetting for a moment whether
20	Q. Did they tell you that	20	or not you relayed it to Detective Doyle?
21	Myron Fox had told them that Jerry	21	A No.
22	Steuerman owed Tankleff money, Mr.	22	Q You don't recall that at all?
23	Seymour Tankleff?	23	A No, I don't.
١	A. Yes.	24	Q Is it something that you think
24	711 1 001		
		25	
24 25	Q. And it was coming to him		you would recall had you known it?
25	Q. And it was coming to him FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-757		you would recall had you known it? FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 15 of 33 PageID #:
		12	55
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	2	after you finished at Mather Hospital on
3	form. You can answer.	3	September 7th?
4	A I might have. I don't recall.	4	A We went to the house, the
5	Q Okay. You do not recall at any	5	Tankleff house.
6	point learning that Myron Fox had indicated	6	Q And what did you do at the
7	his view that Steuerman might be involved in	7	Tankleff house?
8	the Tankleff murders?	8	A John and I assisted in any way
9	A No.	9	we could. Crime Scene was there and they
10	Q And you don't recall at any	10	were doing all kinds of things, collecting
11	point learning that Ronald Rother had	11	evidence, taking photos.
12	indicated in his view that Steuerman might	12	Q Did you enter the house?
13	be involved in the Tankleff murders?	13	A Yes, sir.
14	A No, I don't recall it.	14	Q Did you have the opportunity to
15	Q At some point that morning, did	15	observe Arlene Tankleff's body?
16	you speak with Detective McCready?	16	A Yes.
17	A What morning, sir?	17	Q And how much time did you spend
18	Q I'm sorry, the morning of	18	with Arlene Tankleff's body?
19	September 7, 1988 from Mather Hospital?	19	A I don't recall.
20	A I don't recall speaking to him.	20	Q Go to your interview, the
21	Q Do you know whether or not	21	report of your interview with the State of
22	Detective Pfalzgraf spoke with McCready from	22	New York Commission of Investigation.
23	the hospital?	23	A Okay.
24	A No, I don't. I do not.	24	Q On the second page, the second
25	Q Did Detective Pfalzgraf relay	25	full paragraph it says, "according to
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
-	. 2.02.112. 011 001 1.011 (001).2.1.010	-	
	54		56
1	54 M. Carmody	1	56 M. Carmody
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
2	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin		M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine
2 3	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in	1 2 3 4	M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries
2 3 4	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect?	3	M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	3 4 5	M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	3 4 5 6	M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife."
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir.	3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective	3 4 5 6 7 8	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Carmody to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take just a brief break.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else? A I may have discussed it with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take just a brief break. (At this time, a brief recess	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else? A I may have discussed it with John Pfalzgraf.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take just a brief break. (At this time, a brief recess was taken. Time noted: 10:28.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else? A I may have discussed it with John Pfalzgraf. Q Anyone else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take just a brief break. (At this time, a brief recess was taken. Time noted: 10:28.) Q Mr. Carmody, what did you do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else? A I may have discussed it with John Pfalzgraf. Q Anyone else? A I may or may not have. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	to you that Detective McCready viewed Martin Tankleff as being a person of interest in the investigation or words to that effect? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you recall Detective Pfalzgraf telling you that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A He may have but I don't recall. Q Do you recall learning that from any source while you were at Mather Hospital? A No. Q Did you tell anyone that Martin Tankleff was a person of interest or words to that effect? A No. MR. POLLACK: Why don't we take just a brief break. (At this time, a brief recess was taken. Time noted: 10:28.)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Carmody, although he did not examine Seymour's body wounds, Arlene's injuries consisted of long and narrow deep slashes that he believes were caused by a razor-type knife." Do you recall saying that or words to that effect to the Investigation Commission? A I might have said that, yes. Q Was that based on your examination of Arlene Tankleff's body at the scene of the examination you just described? A Yes. Q Based on that examination, did you conclude that you believe that cuts were caused by a razor-type knife? A I believe they were something very sharp. Q Do you recall discussing that conclusion with anybody else? A I may have discussed it with John Pfalzgraf. Q Anyone else? A I may or may not have. I don't FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	-26	Filed 08/17/16 Page 16 of 33 PageID #:
4	48	13	••
1	M. Carmody	'	M. Carmody
2	recall.	2	detective for 20 years?
3	Q Specifically, do you recall	3	A No, not for 20 years.
4	whether you discussed that conclusion with	4	Q I'm sorry, how long had you
5	Detective Sergeant Doyle?	5	been a homicide detective?
6	A I don't recall that, sir.	6	A At that time? About five years
7	Q Okay. In that same paragraph	7	because I went into Homicide, I believe, in
8	it says, "Carmody surmised that the murders	8	around 1983.
9	occurred long before being reported to the	9	Q You had a fair amount of
10	police because the blood on Seymour's chair	10	experience in examining wounds, yes?
11	was dry and had already coagulated and did	11	A Not too much prior to Homicide.
12	not appear to be fresh."	12	You know, I had seen murder scenes but never
13	Do you recall examining	13	in that detail.
14	Seymour's chair?	14	Q Okay. But at this point you
15	A Yes. I remember being in the	15	had five years of experience as a homicide
16	room and seeing the chair.	16	detective?
17	Q And do you remember seeing dry	17	A Yes.
18	coagulated blood?	18	Q You had examined wounds in any
19	A Yes.	19	number of homicide cases?
20	Q And that is consistent with	20	A Yes, I had.
21	what you learned from Ethel Curley at Mather	21	Q Based on these wounds, you drew
22	Hospital that there was dry blood on both of	22	the conclusion about the characteristics of
23	them?	23	a likely murder weapon, correct?
24	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	24	A In my own mind, yes.
25	form. You can answer.	25	Q And was that conclusion of some
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	58		60
1		1	
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
2	M. Carmody A Yes.	1 2 3	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of
	M. Carmody A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion	2	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case?
2 3 4	M. Carmody A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long	2 3 4	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with	2 3 4 5	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else?	2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Carmody significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that most of the detectives there believed that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that most of the detectives there believed that it was something sharp like a razor-type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that it was something sharp. A Because the wounds were very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that most of the detectives there believed that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A In my mind, it appeared that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that most of the detectives there believed that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or anything. It was long slits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A In my mind, it appeared that it was something like that. I mean I could not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that most of the detectives there believed that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or anything. It was long slits. Q I understand that that was your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A In my mind, it appeared that it was something like that. I mean I could not say conclusively that it was, but it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or anything. It was long slits. Q I understand that that was your conclusion based on your observation, but a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A In my mind, it appeared that it was something like that. I mean I could not say conclusively that it was, but it appeared to be a very sharp type of a knife.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or anything. It was long slits. Q I understand that that was your conclusion based on your observation, but a moment ago you said you believe that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. Q Did you discuss your conclusion from that, that the attacks occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A Could you repeat that? Q Sure. Did you discuss your conclusion that the attacks had occurred long before being reported to the police with anyone else? A I may or may not have, but I don't recall. It was too long ago. Q Okay. Did you consider your conclusion that the wounds, the cuts on Arlene Tankleff was caused by a razor-type knife, did you consider that to be a significance to the investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A In my mind, it appeared that it was something like that. I mean I could not say conclusively that it was, but it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	significance to you in the investigation of this case? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not to me personally, no. Q You didn't think it was important that based on the wounds you thought it was a razor-type knife? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I believe that was the conclusion of most of the detectives that were there, that it was something sharp. Q What makes you believe that it was something sharp like a razor-type knife? A Because the wounds were very clear and defined. They were not jagged or anything. It was long slits. Q I understand that that was your conclusion based on your observation, but a

	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	126	Filed 08/17/16 Page 17 of 33 PageID #:
1	M. Carmody	314 1	M. Carmody
2	that were there.	2	A Most likely I would.
3	My question is, what makes you	3	Q Approximately how long did you
4	believe that the other detectives made the	4	spend at the crime scene on September 7th?
_		4	
5	same observation and reached the same	5	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
6	conclusions?	6	The whole day.
7	A Well, I have to correct myself	7	Q Where did you go after you
8	if I said all. It may have been I know	8	concluded your work at the crime scene?
9	John and I both felt that it was done by a	9	A I don't recall. I don't
10	very sharp object, and we even mentioned to	10	remember if at the end of the day we left
11	each other about possibly a box cutter or	11	and went back home and then came back the
12	something to that effect.	12	next day. I know we spent a considerable
13	Q So you do recall discussing it	13	amount of time there.
14	with Detective Pfalzgraf?	14	Q Do you recall at any point on
15	A Vaguely, yes.	15	September 7th learning that Detective
16	Q And you recall specifically	16	McCready and Detective Rein had reported
17	discussing with Detective Pfalzgraf it might	17	that Martin Tankleff had made admissions to
18	have been a box cutter?	18	them about his involvement in the murders?
19	A I mentioned that, yes.	19	A At this time, today, I don't
20	Q And did he express any	20	recall but I'm sure I did. Or more than
21	disagreement with that or any agreement with	21	likely did.
22	that?	22	Q Do you recall later in that day
23	A Not that I recall.	23	being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a
24	Q What about your conclusion that	24	conversation with Shari Rother?
25	the attacks occurred long before being	25	A Could you repeat that, sir.
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
-	. ,		
	62		64
1	62 M. Carmody	1	64 M. Carmody
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody
	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a	1 -	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back
3	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some	2 3	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that
2 3 4	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this	2 3 4	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day?
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation?	2 3 4 5	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't.
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Carmody Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe you would have conveyed to someone else on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place? A No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe you would have conveyed to someone else on the scene? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place? A No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe you would have conveyed to someone else on the scene?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place? A No, sir. Q Do you recall learning anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reported to the police? Was that a conclusion that you attached some significance to in the course of this investigation? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A I think it is significant to the case, yes. Q And was it your practice when you learned information that you believed significant to the case to relay that to other detectives working the case? A In some cases it would be. I don't recall whether I did or did not on this case. Q I understand that you don't recall whether you did or did not in this case, my question is based on your general practice. Is that something you believe you would have conveyed to someone else on the scene? MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q Sure. Do you recall going back to police headquarters at some point that day? A No, I don't. Q Do you recall being present when Mr. Martin Tankleff had a conversation with his half sister or stepsister, Shari Rother, a telephone conversation? A No. Q You don't recall anything about such a conversation? A Could you clarify that? Conversation concerning what? Q Sure. After Mr. Tankleff's interview or interrogation with Detectives Rein and McCready were concluded, at some point he had a telephone call with Shari Rother, his stepsister or half sister of his, do you recall being present when that phone call took place? A No, sir. Q Do you recall learning anything about that phone call? A I don't recall whether I did or FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

Filed 08/17/16 Page 19 of 33 F 1 M. Carmody medical examiner's office, did not match the 2 interview with the State Commission of 2 3 purported murder weapon that was referenced 3 Investigation. in the statements taken by McCready and Α 4 4 Okay. 5 Rein? 5 Q On the last page, Page 3, in 6 MR. MITCHELL: I object to that first paragraph, halfway through the paragraph, you say -- well, the report 7 form. 7 A Sir, I don't know what it reflects that you said that after Tankleff 8 8 9 means. I could not say one way or the other verbally admitted to murdering his parents, what it meant. I don't even remember why I 10 10 he agreed to have his confession videotaped; even drew those shapes. 11 however, the police were precluded from 11 12 **Q** Do you recall Detective 12 completing the written statement once they Pfalzgraf, at any point, expressing to you were put on notice by Myron Fox, the 13 13 his view that the knife that Martin Tankleff Tankleffs family attorney, to cease any 14 told McCready and Rein he had used was further questioning of Martin Tankleff. 15 15 16 inconsistent with the wounds? 16 Consequently, detectives were precluded from I don't recall ever having that confronting Tankleff on a number of issues; 17 17 type of conversation. i.e., the type and location of the weapons 18 18 Did you ever come to a used. 19 19 20 conclusion one way or the other whether the 20 Do you recall learning at some knife referenced in Martin Tankleff's 21 point that at some point during the McCready 21 statements to Rein and McCready was, in and Rein's interrogation of Martin Tankleff 22 22 fact, the murder weapon? they were put on notice to cease any further 23 23 Α No, I don't recall. questioning? 24 24 Do you recall discussing that MR. MITCHELL: I object to the 25 Q 25 FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576 FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576 70 72 1 1 M. Carmody M. Carmody 2 with anyone? 2 form. You can answer. Α No, I don't. A Could you reclarify that for 3 3 Do you recall at some point in me? I'm sorry because I was reading this. 4 4 the investigation being present for an No problem. Did you learn at 5 5 interview of three girls, a pair of sisters, some point that during the interrogation of 6 6 7 Audra Goldschmidt and her sister, and then a 7 Martin Tankleff by Detectives Rein and third girl named Daniella McCreedies. McCready, that at some point an attorney put 8 No, sir, I don't. them on notice that they needed to cease 9 9 10 These were three girls that 10 that interrogation? Martin Tankleff had a conversation with A I apparently did, yes. I knew 11 11 about buying a new car or something to that that they were put on notice. 12 12 13 effect. 13 **Q** And is it your view that as a result the detectives were precluded from 14 No, sir, I don't recall that at 14 learning additional information about the 15 all. 15 Do you recall being present type and location of the weapons used? 16 16 when any potential witnesses in the case MR. MITCHELL: I object to the 17 17 were interviewed other than the interviews form. You can answer. 18 18 that you participated at Mather Hospital on I don't recall to be honest 19 19 September 7th? with you. I know that once an attorney gets 20 20 Α No. involved they had to stop. They could not 21 21 22 Q Do you recall crime scene 22 go any further. The next step would have photos being shown to any witnesses? been a signed confession. 23 23 No, sir, I don't. **Q** Right. But you do recall Α 24 24 25 **Q** Go ahead and look at your 25 giving an interview to the State Commission FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576 FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576

1	Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184	726	HIEG 08/17/16 Page 21 of 33 PageID #:
	40	318	79
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	It's very vague.	2	Investigation Commission.
3	Q So I guess that's my question.	3	A Okay.
4	From looking at these notes, do you think	4	Q The last paragraph on the last
5	they are reflecting your own observation or	5	page, Page 3, it says, beginning with the
6	do you think they are reflecting some	6	second sentence, Carmody strongly believes
7	conversation that you had with somebody?	7	that an investigation should be commenced
8	A It could have been either or.	8	into the actions of the Appeals judges in
_	I really don't know. I don't remember.		
9	<u> </u>	9	this case. Carmody said and then it has
10	Q Okay. You said a second ago	10	in quotations "for them to have handed down
11	that looking at these notes suggests to you	11	such a ridiculous decision, someone had
12	there was a conversation about it?	12	gotten to them."
13	A I don't recall saying there was	13	Let me ask you, first of all,
14	a conversation. I don't recall saying that.	14	in your interview in July of 2008, did you,
15	Q Okay. You don't know one way	15	in fact, make statements to that effect?
16	or the other from looking at these notes	16	A I don't recall making a
17	whether this is reflecting a conversation or	17	statement to that effect.
18	reflecting your own personal observation?	18	Q Do you believe you did?
19	A That's correct.	19	A I would never use the word
	_		"scoundrels."
20	Q But one way or another, whether	20	
21	from your own personal observation or from	21	Q And "scoundrels" appears in
22	somewhere else, you did learn that there was	22	this report in quotations?
23	a bloody handprint or footprint on the bed	23	A It does and that's a word I
24	sheets?	24	would never use, that I know.
25	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	25	Q Okay.
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	78		80
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	form. You can answer.	2	A I find this preposterous. I
3	A It appears that way based on my	3	don't ever recall saying anything like that
4	notes.	4	to them.
	_	5	
5	Q Do you remember discussing that		
6	fact with anybody?	6	you said preposterous?
7	A No, sir, I don't.	7	A This paragraph?
8	Q Was that fact of any	8	Q Yes.
9	significance to you in your investigation of	9	A I find it very preposterous.
10	the crime?	10	Q Because you don't believe that
11	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	11	you made the statement that is in quotation
12	form. You can answer.	12	marks there?
13	A Again, I don't know what I was	13	A That's correct.
14	thinking then or what I would have thought.	14	Q Or any statement to that
15	Q Did you undertake any follow-up	15	effect?
16	investigation of the bloody handprint or	16	A I would not say that.
	footprint?	17	Q And you have no idea why the
17		/	•
17	•		author of this report would have not that in
18	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the	18	author of this report would have put that in
18 19	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	18 19	there?
18 19 20	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir.	18 19 20	there? A I really don't. This is the
18 19	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer.	18 19	there?
18 19 20	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir.	18 19 20	there? A I really don't. This is the
18 19 20 21	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you know if any follow-up	18 19 20 21	there? A I really don't. This is the first time I've ever seen this report. Q I understand that.
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you know if any follow-up investigation was done? A No, I don't.	18 19 20 21 22 23	there? A I really don't. This is the first time I've ever seen this report. Q I understand that. Do you believe or did you
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you know if any follow-up investigation was done? A No, I don't. Q If you can go back to the	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there? A I really don't. This is the first time I've ever seen this report. Q I understand that. Do you believe or did you believe that there ought to be an
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MITCHELL: I object to the form. You can answer. A Not that I recall, sir. Q Do you know if any follow-up investigation was done? A No, I don't.	18 19 20 21 22 23	there? A I really don't. This is the first time I've ever seen this report. Q I understand that. Do you believe or did you

	85 Ag	20	1 lied 00/11/10 1 age 20 01 00 1 age 10 1/.
1	M. Carmody	1	M. Carmody
2	Q Did you do anything in the	2	Q So whoever was speaking, all
3	course of this investigation to investigate	3	three of them were present?
4	the possibility that Jerry Steuerman might		A It's very possible. I can't
5	be involved in the Tankleff murders?	5	recall exactly but it's possible.
6	A No.	6	Q Do you recall there being any
7	Q Are you aware of any	7	disagreements where the speaker said
8	investigation that was done by others	8	something and one of the others corrected or
9	working the Tankleff murders into the	9	had a different view?
10	possibility that Jerry Steuerman might be	10	A No, sir, I don't recall that.
11	involved in the murders?	11	Q Did you understand the
12	A Not that I recall.		•
		12	information being relayed to be information
13	MR. POLLACK: I don't think I	13	that all three of them agreed with?
14	have anything else, Mr. Carmody, but	14	A Yes.
15	let me speak with Mr. Barket here.	15	Q On 4515, in the middle of the
16	(At this time, a brief recess	16	page it says, "at scene about 6:24 hours.
17	was taken.)	17	Young male in driveway waiting for
18	Q Go to the notes that start with	18	ambulance." And then, can you read what it
19	4508.	19	says after that?
20	A (Complying.)	20	A "White male, early twenties,
21	Q If you could go to Page 4514.	21	dark hair, thin build, white T-shirt, boxer
22	A Yes, sir.	22	shorts. Unknown shoes." I guess unknown if
23	Q Are these the notes of your	23	he was wearing shoes. "Did notice blood on
24	interviews of the ambulance crew that you	24	said person."
25	conducted at Mather Hospital?	25	Q And
	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
	86		88
1		1	M. Carmody
1 2	M. Carmody	1 2	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene."
	M. Carmody A Yes, sir.	2 3	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there.
2	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at	2 3 4	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay.
2	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.?	2 3 4 5	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being
2 3 4 5	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the
2 3 4 5 6	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	M. Carmody A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Carmody A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page? A Yes. I remember speaking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page? A Yes. I remember speaking to all of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.) MIKE CARMODY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page? A Yes. I remember speaking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page? A Yes. I remember speaking to all of them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.) MIKE CARMODY
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes, sir. Q And apparently they started at 8:56 a.m.? A It looks that way, yes. Q If you go to the bottom of the page it references Ethel Curley? A Yes, sir. Q And on the next page there are notes that start, dispatched at 6:11? A Yes. Q Do you know who you're getting that information from? Was that from Ms. Curley? A I could not say if it was from her, but it was from one of the ambulance people. Q Do you remember talking to all three of these individuals that are listed on the prior page? A Yes. I remember speaking to all of them. Q Together or separately?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A "Police officers on scene." Q You're good. Stop there. A Okay. Q And the individual that's being described here, did you come to the understanding that that individual is Martin Tankleff? A Yes, sir. MR. POLLACK: Mr. Carmody, that's all I have for you. I thank you for your participation today. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome, sir. (Whereupon, the deposition of Mr. Carmody was concluded.) (Time noted: 11:25 a.m.) MIKE CARMODY

1	48	21	91
2	INDEX	1	
3	INDEX TO TESTIMONY	2	CERTIFICATION
4		3	I, DOLLY FEVOLA, a Notary Public in
	<u>Page</u>	4	and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:
5		5	THAT the witness whose testimony is herein
6	Examination by Mr. Pollack 5	6	before set forth, was duly sworn by me; and
7		7	THAT the within transcript is a true record
8		8	of the testimony given by said witness.
	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	9	I further certify that I am not related,
9	Plaintiff's Description Page	10	either by blood or marriage, to any of the parties
10	Carmody 1 Notes 5	11	to this action; and
11	,	12	THAT I am in no way interested in
12	Carmody 2 Excerpt from Testimony 8	13	the outcome of this matter.
13		14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
		15	set my hand this 2nd day of May, 2014.
14	Carmody 4 Supplemental Report 22	16	
15	Carmody 5 Document 25	17	
16	Carmody 6 Excerpt from	18	
17	Testimony 30	19	DOLLY FEVOLA
	Carmody 7 Notes 43	20	
18		21	
19 20		22	
21		23	
22 23		24	
24 25		25	
23	FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576		FEVOLA REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION INC. (631) 724-7576
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF: Case Name: Martin Tankleff vs The County of Suffolk. K. James McCready, et al Deposition Date: April 14, 2014 Witness: Mike Carmody CORRECTIONS PG LN NOW READS SHOULD READ REASON FOR		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Subscribed and sworn to before me		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Signature		

) 1207-JS-AYS Doc 319 [1] - 50:6	34:25, 36 8 2 235:12	3:12	attending [2] - 18:24,
#	320 [1] - 42:7	9th [1] - 68:13	answer [34] - 8:15,	23:14
	33 [3] - 7:19, 12:24,	Jul [1] - 00.10	15:20, 17:19, 22:3,	attorney [4] - 65:9,
#1-10 [2] - 1:8, 1:9	66:10	Α	22:4, 24:3, 24:12,	71:14, 72:8, 72:20
	— 3525 [1] - 10:5	A	24:22, 29:14, 29:15,	Attorneys [2] - 2:4,
1	3323 [1] = 10.3		32:9, 35:17, 38:22,	2:8
<u> </u>	4	a.m [5] - 1:15, 8:18,	40:19, 48:20, 53:3,	Audra [1] - 70:7
	4	12:23, 86:4, 88:17	54:6, 57:25, 58:20,	author [1] - 80:18
1 [6] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:3,		able [5] - 16:8, 20:20,	60:5, 60:11, 62:7,	Avenue [1] - 4:12
14:14, 19:3, 89:10	4 [4] - 22:15, 22:17,	21:7, 26:17, 28:7	62:25, 72:2, 72:18,	aware [1] - 85:7
10:28 [1] - 54:24	22:20, 89:14	above-mentioned [1]	73:18, 74:12, 78:2,	awaie[i] - 65.7
10th [2] - 45:8, 45:9	4/5/09 [1] - 19:6	- 1:19	78:12, 78:19, 81:22,	В
11530 [1] - 2:5	43 [1] - 89:17	absolutely [1] - 32:18	83:21, 84:19, 84:20	Ь
11:25 [1] - 88:17	4508 [1] - 85:19	accept [1] - 18:13	answers [1] - 41:6	
13 [1] - 89:13	4514 [1] - 85:21	according [2] - 47:23,	appeal [1] - 82:6	B-A-C-K [2] - 19:18,
14 [2] - 1:14, 90:3	4515 [1] - 87:15	55:25	Appeals [5] - 79:8,	19:19
15 [1] - 20:25		According [1] - 26:11	81:2, 81:13, 81:19,	bad [5] - 42:18, 46:4,
18 [1] - 45:15	5	account [2] - 73:14,	81:25	46:21, 47:9, 48:15
19 [1] - 44:4		80:5	appear [3] - 44:22,	bandaged [1] - 21:4
1983 [1] - 59:8		accurate [1] - 32:11	57:12, 68:23	BARKET [1] - 2:6
1988 [9] - 5:8, 6:23,	5 [5] - 25:18, 25:19,	action [1] - 91:11		barket [1] - 85:15
14:9, 22:22, 44:4,	89:6, 89:10, 89:15	actions [2] - 79:8,	appearance [2] -	Barnes [1] - 66:7
45:15, 48:5, 53:19,	-	80:25	31:10, 33:3	BARRY [1] - 2:5
66:9	6	Adams [3] - 68:9,	appeared [8] - 25:6,	Barry [2] - 4:19, 18:10
1 9th [1] - 45:16	•	= = -	25:8, 27:14, 31:15,	
1 5t 11[1] +0.10		68:13, 68:25	33:10, 58:21, 58:24,	based [13] - 10:20,
2	6 [3] - 29:25, 30:3,	additional [1] - 72:15	83:10	37:14, 37:17, 48:14,
	89:16	address [1] - 4:11	April [2] - 1:14, 90:3	51:4, 56:11, 56:15,
	666 [2] - 1:12, 2:4	administered [1] -	area [4] - 15:2, 15:17,	59:21, 60:8, 60:23,
2 [4] - 8:5, 8:7, 8:10,	6:00 [1] - 12:23	13:6	17:7, 40:22	62:19, 76:23, 78:3
89:11	6:11 [1] - 86:10	admissions [1] -	Arlene [6] - 15:17,	basing [1] - 29:7
20 [3] - 5:11, 59:2,	6:24 [1] - 87:16	63:17	17:22, 55:15, 55:18,	basis [1] - 82:10
59:3		admitted [1] - 71:9	56:12, 58:16	batter [1] - 10:8
2008 [3] - 25:23, 73:2,	7	advised [1] - 37:18	Arlene's [1] - 56:3	Bauman [1] - 66:17
79:14	<u> </u>	ago [6] - 7:5, 58:13,	arms [1] - 17:6	Bay [7] - 25:2, 26:12,
2014 [5] - 1:14, 88:23,		60:24, 67:4, 77:10,	Army [1] - 67:15	26:23, 28:2, 28:4,
90:3, 90:24, 91:15	7 [7] - 6:23, 22:22,	82:3	arose [1] - 10:22	28:18, 28:23
22 [1] - 89:14	43:8, 43:10, 48:5,	agree [6] - 4:17, 81:8,	arrival [1] - 12:25	bed [6] - 75:17, 75:24,
23 [1] - 8:16	53:19, 89:17	82:4, 82:5, 82:12,	arrived [4] - 11:9,	75:25, 76:4, 76:11,
	7:05 [1] - 8:18	82:15	16:6, 16:21, 17:2	77:23
24 [1] - 8:16	7th [8] - 14:11, 15:11,	AGREED [3] - 3:3, 3:8,	aside [1] - 43:19	bedroom [3] - 13:15,
25 [1] - 89:15	55:3, 63:4, 63:15,	3:12	assault [2] - 16:19,	13:16, 76:12
26 [1] - 67:3	65:24, 70:20, 76:13	agreed [2] - 71:10,	24:15	begin [1] - 75:14
!604 [1] - 8:13		87:13	assaultant [1] - 24:17	beginning [1] - 79:5
268 [3] - 30:8, 34:9,	8	agreement [1] - 61:21	assaulted [1] - 24:7	believes [2] - 56:5,
35:4		ahead [6] - 5:25, 7:11,		79:6
169 [2] - 35:3, 35:5		25:17, 50:5, 70:25,	assigned [3] - 10:10,	bell [1] - 52:17
2823 [1] - 44:4	8 [2] - 66:8, 89:12	75:13	10:13, 11:5	
830 [3] - 68:5, 74:15,	8:30 [1] - 30:13	aid [1] - 13:6	assignments [1] -	Belle [2] - 7:19, 12:24
75:14	8:35 [1] - 66:8		9:14	best [2] - 23:11, 34:16
2844 [3] - 45:19,	8:56 [1] - 86:4	al [1] - 90:3	assisted [1] - 55:8	between [4] - 46:4,
45:23, 46:2	8th [4] - 66:2, 67:2,	alive [1] - 13:18	assisting [1] - 39:23	46:21, 47:9, 48:16
2847 [1] - 47:13	67:5, 67:11	almost [3] - 20:14,	assume [2] - 5:3,	bit [1] - 41:2
nd [1] - 91:15	· 	23:16, 30:20	19:19	blood [19] - 14:25,
	9	ALSO [1] - 2:11	assuming [1] - 19:20	15:9, 15:17, 15:18,
3		ambulance [7] -	attach [1] - 17:15	16:5, 16:15, 16:17,
J	<u> </u>	12:18, 12:21, 13:7,	attached [1] - 62:3	17:5, 17:6, 17:8,
	9/19/88 [2] - 44:2, 44:7	16:20, 85:24, 86:16,	attack [3] - 39:3,	46:4, 46:21, 47:9,
[8] - 13:21, 13:23,	9/9/88 [1] - 68:8	87:18	41:14, 83:17	48:15, 57:10, 57:18,
14:15, 14:22, 18:2,	9:00 [4] - 34:23, 34:24,	amount [2] - 59:9,	attacks [7] - 41:21,	57:22, 87:23, 91:10
		63:13		bloody [4] - 75:17,
71:5, 79:5, 89:13	35:11. 35:12	03.13	50:3. 58:4. 58:9	Dioody [+] 10.11,
71:5, 79:5, 89:13 0 [2] - 4:12, 89:16	35:11, 35:12 9:30 _[5] - 1:15, 34:23,	AND [3] - 3:3, 3:8,	50:3, 58:4, 58:9, 61:25, 65:16, 83:10	76:17, 77:23, 78:16

blow [3] - 27:15, 31:10, 33:4 blue [1] - 15:8 bluffs [1] - 67:21 blunt [1] - 26:9 body [6] - 13:12, 17:9, 55:15, 55:18, 56:3, 56:12 **bottom** [7] - 19:6, 42:7, 45:19, 46:2, 68:5, 75:14, 86:6 **box** [2] - 61:11, 61:18 boxer [1] - 87:21 break [1] - 54:22 **breathless** [1] - 15:4 **BRIAN** [1] - 2:10 brief [3] - 54:22, 54:23, 85:16 brought [3] - 11:18, 20:11, 30:16 brown [3] - 19:25, 20:2, 20:17 **BRUCE** [1] - 2:6 **BRUSTIN** [1] - 2:3 build [1] - 87:21 Building [1] - 2:9 business [4] - 42:18, 49:4, 49:12, 84:17 buying [1] - 70:12 BY [3] - 2:5, 2:10, 4:6

C

car [1] - 70:12 Carmody [61] - 4:10, 4:17, 4:18, 5:21, 5:23, 5:25, 6:3, 8:5, 8:7, 8:9, 8:10, 8:17, 9:24, 10:13, 13:21, 13:23, 13:25, 14:14, 14:15, 18:2, 18:20, 19:2, 22:15, 22:17, 22:20, 25:18, 25:19, 25:21, 26:7, 26:11, 29:25, 30:3, 30:5, 34:11, 42:10, 42:16, 43:8, 43:10, 43:12, 44:8, 50:13, 51:12, 54:25, 56:2, 57:8, 66:5, 66:15, 79:6, 79:9, 85:14, 88:10, 88:16, 89:10, 89:11, 89:13, 89:14, 89:15, 89:16, 89:17, 90:4 **CARMODY** [2] - 1:16, 88:20 carries [1] - 35:4 Case [1] - 90:2 case [23] - 4:15, 10:10,

10:14, 10:19, 24:25, 26:3, 26:12, 26:23, 28:2, 28:18, 28:23, 39:20, 39:22, 39:24, 40:11. 60:3. 62:9. 62:12, 62:13, 62:16, 62:19, 70:17, 79:9 cases [3] - 59:19, 62:14, 83:22 caused [12] - 21:12, 24:16, 26:9, 30:23, 31:4, 31:25, 32:6, 32:25, 33:10, 56:5, 56:17, 58:16 cease [3] - 71:14, 71:23, 72:9 certainly [1] - 18:13 certification [1] - 3:6 **CERTIFICATION** [1] -91:2 **certify** [2] - 91:4, 91:9 chair [3] - 57:10, 57:14, 57:16 change [1] - 7:8 characteristics [1] -59:22 **CHARLES** [1] - 1:7 Charles [1] - 66:16 **checked** [1] - 13:12 **chest** [1] - 17:7 Christopherson [2] -27:5, 81:18 circles [1] - 18:9 circling [1] - 18:4 circular [11] - 21:13, 25:5, 25:10, 27:16, 28:4, 30:25, 31:11, 32:2, 33:5, 33:16, 33:19 circumstances [1] -40:20

circling [1] - 18:4
circular [11] - 21:13,
25:5, 25:10, 27:16,
28:4, 30:25, 31:11,
32:2, 33:5, 33:16,
33:19
circumstances [1] 40:20
City [2] - 1:13, 2:5
Civil [1] - 1:18
clarification [2] 17:25, 44:6
clarify [5] - 18:6,
36:24, 41:5, 64:13,
74:16
clear [5] - 41:8, 51:24,
60:20, 68:16, 68:17
cliffs [1] - 66:23
close [4] - 83:18,
83:19, 84:9, 84:13
closely [4] - 82:25,
83:14, 84:7, 84:12

clumps [1] - 17:6

coagulated [2] -

57:11, 57:18

collecting [1] - 55:10

combined [2] - 35:23,

35:25 **4823** coming [3] - 20:3, 20:18, 50:25 commenced [1] - 79:7 Commission [7] -25:24, 27:10, 55:22, 56:9, 71:2, 72:25, 79:2 commit [2] - 51:6, 51:10 committed [1] - 83:12 completely [4] -20:14, 21:4, 23:16, 30:20 completing [1] - 71:12 Complying [1] - 85:20 concern [1] - 68:24 concerning [1] - 64:14 conclude [1] - 56:16 concluded [6] - 26:8, 26:20, 27:21, 63:8, 64:17, 88:16 conclusion [25] -28:6, 29:8, 32:14, 32:19, 32:24, 33:9, 33:18, 33:20, 33:25, 34:6, 34:7, 56:21, 57:4, 58:3, 58:9, 58:15, 59:22, 59:25, 60:13, 60:23, 60:25, 61:24, 62:3, 69:20, 84:6 conclusions [1] - 61:6 conclusively [1] -58:23 16:25

condition [2] - 15:3, 16:25 conducted [2] - 66:22, 85:25 confession [2] -71:10, 72:23 confronting [1] -71:17

confused [2] - 32:21, 33:8 conjunction [1] - 19:7 consequently [1] -71:16 consider [2] - 58:14,

58:17 considerable [1] -63:12 consisted [1] - 56:4 consistent [7] - 21:6,

27:15, 27:22, 32:15, 33:23, 34:2, 57:20 contact [1] - 84:14

contemporaneous [2] - 6:19, 28:25 continue [1] - 66:10 conversation [24] 8:24, 11:16, 11:22,
11:25, 12:4, 12:10,
12:13, 12:20, 22:12,
23:21, 23:25, 29:19,
38:13, 63:24, 64:7,
64:9, 64:12, 64:14,
69:18, 70:11, 77:7,
77:12, 77:14, 77:17
convey [1] - 51:11
conveyed [1] - 62:22
copy [2] - 6:12, 43:15
corner [3] - 8:13, 30:9,

42:8
correct [11] - 18:21,
22:13, 26:19, 33:2,
42:24, 47:5, 59:23,
61:7, 77:19, 80:13,
82:16
corrected [1] - 87:8

CORRECTIONS [1] - 90:5 correctly [1] - 75:19 counsel [1] - 3:4 counter [1] - 65:15 Country [2] - 1:12, 2:4 County [2] - 5:10, 90:2 COUNTY [3] - 1:7, 1:8, 2:8

course [7] - 11:16, 12:20, 22:25, 37:16, 62:4, 84:22, 85:3 COURT [1] - 1:2 Court [2] - 3:16, 81:13

created [1] - 23:9 **crew** [5] - 12:18, 12:21, 15:12, 16:20, 85:24

crime [12] - 55:9, 63:4, 63:8, 65:23, 66:20, 70:22, 76:13, 78:10, 82:18, 82:19, 82:23, 83:7

crimes [1] - 83:12 cross [1] - 10:5 cross-examination [1] - 10:5 Curley [9] - 13:8.

Crime [1] - 66:18

14:25, 15:12, 16:24, 17:12, 57:21, 67:14, 86:7, 86:14 current [2] - 7:12, 11:4 cut [1] - 11:20 cuts [2] - 56:16, 58:15 cutter [2] - 61:11, 61:18

Daniella [1] - 70:8
dark [1] - 87:21
date [5] - 6:19, 6:22,
7:2, 43:25, 44:2
Date [1] - 90:3
dead [1] - 13:18
dealings [1] - 84:17
deals [2] - 49:4, 49:13
debt [2] - 42:17, 49:22
decision [6] - 79:11,
81:6, 81:8, 81:20,

2

81:25, 82:10 deep [4] - 19:16, 20:13, 30:18, 56:4 Defendant [1] - 75:4 Defendants [2] - 1:10, 2:8

defined [1] - 60:20 definition [1] - 16:8 Dennison [1] - 2:9 DEPARTMENT [1] -2:8

Deposition [1] - 90:3 DEPOSITION [1] -1:16

deposition [10] - 3:6, 3:13, 4:23, 30:6, 34:10, 35:9, 36:17, 42:5, 50:6, 88:15 depressed [4] - 19:23, 20:12, 23:17, 30:17

depression [6] - 21:13, 25:6, 30:25, 31:11, 32:3, 33:6

described [4] - 15:3, 20:14, 56:13, 88:6 **describes** [2] - 30:19,

Description [1] - 89:9 **description** [4] -

66:21

16:24, 17:11, 17:16, 17:17 |**etail** m - 59:13

detail [1] - 59:13

Detective [64] - 4:16,
7:7, 8:11, 8:17, 8:21,
9:4, 9:25, 10:12,
10:17, 11:5, 11:24,
12:11, 18:7, 18:20,
22:11, 22:20, 23:2,
23:20, 24:4, 25:11,
29:17, 30:7, 30:10,
34:11, 34:25, 35:9,
35:14, 35:21, 36:4,
37:5, 38:7, 38:12,
38:16, 39:6, 40:4,
40:15, 40:22, 41:24,
43:3, 46:17, 46:20,

Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-26 Filed 08/17/16 Page 27 of 33 PageID #: 49:6, 49:25, 51:19, 66:23, 67:20, 79:10 81:8, 948024 62:7, 62:25, 69:7, 52:4, 52:7, 52:20, 72:2, 72:18, 73:18, **DOYLE** [1] - 1:7 emergency [7] - 12:7, 53:16, 53:22, 53:25, **Doyle** [25] - 5:19, 7:7, 20:11, 23:15, 30:14, 74:12, 78:2, 78:12, fact [17] - 6:10, 10:15, 54:2, 54:8, 57:5, 78:19, 81:22, 83:21, 8:11, 8:21, 9:11, 30:16, 67:14, 67:19 15:16, 16:18, 21:3, 61:14, 61:17, 63:15, 10:17, 29:18, 30:7, **EMPLOYEES** [1] - 1:8 84:19 24:18, 25:12, 29:11, 63:16, 65:13, 66:7, 30:10, 34:10, 35:2, end [1] - 63:10 forth [1] - 91:6 46:25, 48:2, 48:9, 66:12, 66:14, 66:16, 35:14, 35:21, 36:4, forward [1] - 47:12 enter [1] - 55:12 69:23, 78:6, 78:8, 69:12 37:5, 41:24, 42:5, Fox [11] - 48:23, entered [2] - 13:3, 79:15, 83:9 detective [20] - 5:9, 43:4, 49:25, 51:19, 49:16, 49:21, 50:2, 30:13 fair [1] - 59:9 9:15, 9:16, 9:18, 52:4, 52:7, 52:20, 50:17, 50:21, 51:5, entrance [1] - 13:16 10:15, 11:6, 11:10, family [7] - 37:22, 57:5, 66:14 **ERRATA** [1] - 90:2 51:20, 53:6, 65:9, 38:4, 38:14, 41:19, 11:11, 11:14, 12:5, **Doyle's** [2] - 35:9, 71:13 **ESQ** [3] - 2:5, 2:6, 50:5 49:8, 71:14, 84:13 16:2, 16:13, 17:15, fracture [5] - 19:23, 2:10 fast [1] - 10:12 24:10, 24:20, 40:12, Dr [35] - 12:7, 12:10, 20:3, 20:12, 23:18, et [1] - 90:3 father [1] - 13:5 59:2, 59:5, 59:16, 18:20, 18:23, 19:8, 30:17 Ethel [4] - 15:12, 83:16 favor [1] - 41:4 19:11, 20:10, 20:23, fresh [2] - 17:8, 57:12 57:21, 67:13, 86:7 feared [2] - 20:5, detective's [1] - 39:24 21:10, 21:21, 22:7, FRI [1] - 68:8 event [1] - 4:14 20:20 **Detectives** [3] - 64:16, 23:13, 23:22, 24:6, Friday [1] - 68:8 events [3] - 14:10, 66:14, 72:7 Federal [1] - 1:18 24:14, 24:18, 25:12, friend [1] - 20:24 22:22, 44:14 detectives [9] - 39:21, 28:21, 29:20, 29:23, felt [7] - 21:11, 30:23, evidence [3] - 55:11, front [3] - 15:9, 15:18, 60:13, 60:16, 60:25, 30:15, 30:22, 31:2, 31:4, 31:24, 32:5, 19:16 66:19, 67:10 32:12, 61:9 61:4, 62:13, 71:16, 31:3, 31:20, 31:24, full [1] - 55:25 exactly [3] - 27:18, 72:14, 73:7 32:5, 32:16, 32:20, Fevola [1] - 1:20 **FURTHER** [2] - 3:8, 82:16, 87:5 detector [1] - 66:22 34:6, 37:19, 68:8, **FEVOLA** [2] - 91:3, 3:12 **EXAMINATION** [1] -68:12, 68:25 detectors [1] - 68:2 91:19 **Fusco** [1] - 47:16 4:6 determine [1] - 39:18 drawings [1] - 68:20 few [2] - 39:3, 47:12 **FUSCO** [1] - 47:16 **Examination** [1] - 89:6 different [4] - 44:18, dressed [1] - 7:22 fight [1] - 83:24 **future** [1] - 49:19 examination [7] -68:21, 83:25, 87:9 drew [2] - 59:21, 69:11 filing [1] - 3:5 10:5, 29:9, 56:12, Drive [3] - 7:19, 12:24, dilated [1] - 15:5 finished [1] - 55:2 56:13, 56:15, 68:25, 66:10 disagree [1] - 81:20 first [15] - 4:3, 5:12, 76:12 disagreement [1] driveway [1] - 87:17 6:4, 7:4, 10:15, examine [3] - 56:2, gained [1] - 10:16 dry [12] - 14:25, 15:8, 14:23, 20:8, 26:5, 61:21 65:19, 76:4 games [1] - 36:11 disagreements [1] -15:16, 15:18, 16:4, 43:12, 45:6, 71:6, **examined** [3] - 4:5, garage [1] - 67:10 16:15, 16:18, 17:5, 74:17, 76:10, 79:13, 87:7 59:18, 66:19 Garden [2] - 1:13, 2:5 17:8, 57:11, 57:17, 80:21 discuss [4] - 23:5, examiner's [1] - 69:2 general [1] - 62:19 57:22 **five** [4] - 16:10, 38:19, 25:11, 58:3, 58:8 **examining** [3] - 57:13, **due** [2] - 10:14, 21:3 generally [3] - 9:18, discussed [3] - 56:22, 59:6, 59:15 59:10, 65:21 10:7, 84:11 57:4, 65:24 **duly** [2] - 4:3, 91:6 flip [1] - 6:8 except [1] - 3:9 genre [1] - 39:25 floor [2] - 17:7, 17:9 discussing [7] during [5] - 4:22, Excerpt [2] - 89:11, gentleman [2] - 27:4, 47:25, 48:8, 56:20, 18:15, 22:24, 71:21, follow [2] - 78:15, 89:16 48:22 72:6 78:21 61:13, 61:17, 69:25, excerpt [4] - 8:10, gentlemen's [1] duty [1] - 7:14 78:5 follow-up [2] - 78:15, 9:25, 30:6, 66:6 27:18 **DW013905** [1] - 10:6 discussion [4] - 19:8, 78:21 Exhibit [12] - 5:21, girl [1] - 70:8 76:8, 76:22, 76:24 **follows** [1] - 4:5 5:23, 6:3, 8:7, 13:23, girls [2] - 70:6, 70:10 discussions [1] - 47:7 Ε footprint [6] - 75:18, 14:14, 14:15, 22:16, given [1] - 91:8 76:9, 76:18, 76:25, dispatched [3] -22:20, 25:19, 30:3, Goldschmidt [1] -77:23, 78:17 12:23, 16:16, 86:10 43:10 Eames [2] - 12:9, 70:7 **FOR** [3] - 1:2, 90:2, **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:2, 1:2 exhibit [1] - 25:22 12:14 granted [1] - 82:6 doctor [3] - 19:25, 90:6 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 89:8 early [7] - 6:22, 34:19, great [1] - 36:22 26:8, 28:10 **force** [2] - 3:14, 26:9 expect [1] - 16:16 34:21, 48:3, 48:5, guess [2] - 77:3, 87:22 forehead [1] - 17:6 **Document** [1] - 89:15 experience [4] -48:17, 87:20 **DOE** [1] - 1:8 **forgetting** [1] - 52:19 59:10, 59:15, 82:24, **EASTERN** [1] - 1:2 **DOLLY** [2] - 91:3, form [37] - 3:9, 15:20, 83:15 effect [10] - 3:15, 54:4, 17:19, 22:3, 24:3, 91:19 explain [1] - 82:21 54:11, 54:19, 56:8, **Dolly** [1] - 1:20 24:12, 24:22, 25:9, express [1] - 61:20 61:12, 70:13, 79:15, hair [2] - 15:2, 87:21 27:2, 29:14, 31:7, done [5] - 61:9, 78:22, expressed [2] - 41:20, 79:17, 80:15 half [3] - 14:24, 64:8, 82:25, 84:6, 85:8 32:9, 35:17, 35:19, 64:19 eighth [1] - 14:8 38:22, 41:16, 48:20, door [3] - 13:15,

expressing [1] - 69:13

extending [1] - 30:19

eyelid [1] - 15:5

13:16, 13:17

down [5] - 14:24, 17:3,

either [6] - 34:10,

45:22, 50:12, 77:8,

3

G

Н

halfway [1] - 71:6

hammer [31] - 21:12,

53:3, 54:6, 57:25,

58:20, 60:5, 60:11,

21:17, 21:22, 22:8, 24:8, 24:16, 24:17, 25:3, 25:7, 25:8, 25:13, 26:10, 26:13, 27:15, 30:24, 31:5, 31:9, 31:10, 31:13, 31:16, 31:18, 31:25, 32:6, 32:15, 32:25, 33:4, 33:5, 33:11, 33:14, 33:20, 34:3 hammer-type [25] -21:12, 21:17, 21:22, 22:8, 24:8, 24:16, 24:17, 25:7, 25:13, 26:10, 27:15, 30:24, 31:5, 31:9, 31:10, 31:16, 31:25, 32:6, 32:15, 32:25, 33:4, 33:11, 33:14, 33:20, 34:3 hand [4] - 8:13, 30:9, 42:7, 91:15 handed [2] - 6:2, 79:10 handled [2] - 24:25, 28:4 handprint [6] - 75:17, 76:8, 76:17, 76:25, 77:23, 78:16 handwriting [3] - 6:6, 43:17, 43:22 hard [1] - 10:12 **Hauppauge** [1] - 2:9 head [16] - 11:19,

Hauppauge [1] - 2:9 head [16] - 11:19, 15:2, 19:21, 19:23, 20:4, 20:13, 20:18, 20:19, 21:11, 26:9, 30:18, 30:23, 31:25, 32:6, 33:16 headed [1] - 7:22 headquarters [1] -64:3

24:14 held [3] - 1:19, 81:5, 81:12 help [1] - 33:7

heard [3] - 7:6, 24:6,

hear [1] - 5:12

HEREBY [1] - 3:3 hereby [2] - 3:7, 91:4

herein [2] - 1:17, 91:5

hereto [1] - 3:5 hereunto [1] - 91:14

himself [1] - 10:18 hit [1] - 33:16

hold [2] - 10:11, 81:11 home [2] - 5:15, 63:11

home [2] - 5:15, 63:11 Homicide [3] - 59:7, 59:11, 66:15

homicide [14] - 5:9,

7:19, 9:16, 10:8, 10:22, 16:2, 17:15, 24:9, 24:20, 58:25, 59:5, 59:15, 59:19, 83:16 **homicides** [1] - 11:6 honest [1] - 72:19 Hospital [12] - 9:5, 9:7, 11:9, 15:11, 19:9, 48:24, 53:19, 54:15, 55:2, 57:22, 70:19, 85:25 hospital [16] - 11:19, 12:17, 15:12, 18:25, 37:22, 37:25, 38:5, 38:8, 39:8, 40:24, 41:25, 42:13, 49:9, 50:11, 50:18, 53:23 hospital's [1] - 30:14 hour [1] - 16:10 hours [7] - 16:11, 30:13, 48:4, 48:5, 48:18, 66:8, 87:16 house [13] - 13:3, 13:4, 13:10, 13:11, 47:20, 48:3, 48:4, 48:17, 55:4, 55:5, 55:7, 55:12, 84:3 husband [2] - 25:2, 26:13

i.e [1] - 71:18 idea [3] - 75:9, 75:11, 80:17 identical [4] - 26:14, 26:22, 27:3, 27:11 Identification [2] -66:12, 66:13 identification [7] -5:24, 8:8, 13:24, 22:18, 25:20, 30:4, 43:11 identified [1] - 73:22 **important** [1] - 60:8 **IN** [1] - 91:14 incident [3] - 5:13, 6:20, 7:6 inconsistent [1] -69:16 independent [1] - 48:6 independently [2] -23:8. 65:18 INDEX [2] - 89:2, 89:3 indicate [3] - 7:13, 16:5, 46:24 indicated [7] - 7:5, 18:19, 26:16, 32:5,

53:6, 548253:14
indicates [1] - 45:14
indicative [1] - 20:19
individual [2] - 88:5,
88:7
individuals [1] - 86:19
inform [1] - 29:17
information [20] -

inform [1] - 29:17 information [20] -15:11, 29:18, 34:13, 34:18, 37:19, 38:25, 40:23, 43:4, 47:22, 52:4, 52:19, 62:11, 72:15, 74:7, 75:3, 75:22, 76:3, 86:13, 87:12 informed [1] - 51:19

informed [1] - 51:19 informing [1] - 49:24 initial [2] - 12:4, 14:2 injuries [10] - 20:4, 26:14, 29:9, 32:15, 56:3, 83:8, 83:11, 83:17, 84:4 injury [13] - 11:19, 19:21, 20:19, 21:11,

21:12, 28:13, 28:14, 30:23, 31:4, 31:16, 31:25, 32:6, 32:24 input [1] - 23:10

inquiries [1] - 73:9 instructed [2] - 9:8, 9:12

instrument [17] - 21:18, 24:8, 24:16,

24:17, 25:9, 25:14, 30:24, 31:5, 31:9, 32:2, 32:7, 32:16, 32:25, 33:11, 33:21, 34:3, 65:16

34:3, 65:16 interest [4] - 39:25, 54:3, 54:10, 54:18 interested [2] - 39:22, 91:12

interpretation [1] -27:19

interrogating [1] - 73:7 interrogation [5] -

64:16, 71:22, 72:6, 72:10, 73:21 interrupted [1] - 36:14

interview [11] - 40:15, 40:17, 40:18, 55:20, 55:21, 64:16, 70:6

55:21, 64:16, 70:6, 71:2, 72:25, 78:25, 79:14

interviewed [4] -25:23, 30:14, 50:17, 70:18

interviews [6] - 38:10, 39:7, 40:3, 40:7,

70:18, 85:24 investigate [2] -84:22, 85:3 investigated [2] -

26:12, 26:24 investigation [24] -9:17, 22:25, 39:6,

44:10, 44:15, 44:18, 44:23, 47:8, 48:14, 54:4, 58:18, 60:2, 62:5, 65:6, 66:11, 70:5, 78:9, 78:16, 78:22, 79:7, 80:25,

84:22, 85:3, 85:8 Investigation [7] -25:24, 27:9, 55:22,

56:8, 71:3, 73:2, 79:2

investigations [1] - 44:19

involved [13] - 41:13, 41:21, 42:2, 50:3, 51:14, 51:21, 53:7, 53:13, 72:21, 83:24, 84:24, 85:5, 85:11

42:23, 63:18 **involves** [1] - 84:2 **IS** [3] - 3:3, 3:8, 3:12

involvement [2] -

issue [2] - 68:16, 68:17

issues [1] - 71:17 IT [3] - 3:3, 3:8, 3:12 itself [1] - 35:23

J

J.P [1] - 47:24 jagged [1] - 60:20 jail [1] - 82:8 **JAMES** [1] - 1:7 James [2] - 66:7, 90:3 **Jerry** [14] - 38:19, 39:2, 41:13, 41:20, 46:9, 46:22, 47:9, 48:2, 49:16, 50:21, 65:4, 84:23, 85:4, 85:10 **John** [11] - 7:23, 9:13, 46:4, 46:6, 47:2, 47:24, 48:2, 55:8, 56:23, 61:9 **JOHN** [2] - 1:8 joined [1] - 66:11 judges [3] - 79:8, 81:2, 81:13 July [1] - 79:14

K

Kenneth [2] - 27:5, 81:17 **killed** [1] - 25:2

kinds [1] - 55:10 kitchen [1] - 65:15 knife [21] - 56:6, 56:17, 58:17, 58:24, 60:9, 60:18, 65:15, 65:20, 65:22, 67:9, 67:15, 68:9, 68:16, 68:17, 68:21, 69:14, 69:21, 73:23, 74:3,

74:8 knowing [1] - 52:18 knowledge [2] -

10:16, 11:4 **known** [2] - 52:25,

84:16 **Kosciuk** [1] - 66:16 **KOSCIUK** [1] - 1:7

L

Lab [1] - 66:18 lab [2] - 68:8, 68:12 laboratory [1] - 66:17 laceration [2] - 20:13, 30:18

last [12] - 16:23, 26:6, 45:10, 46:3, 47:13, 47:16, 47:19, 68:6, 68:7, 71:5, 79:4

LAW [1] - 2:8 **lawyer** [3] - 49:3, 49:8, 49:11

39:23, 40:12 **learn** [7] - 12:19,

lead [4] - 9:16, 11:6,

41:11, 46:25, 47:21, 65:3, 72:5, 77:22

learned [15] - 7:16, 11:22, 29:19, 37:19, 40:6, 40:18, 40:23, 41:25, 46:17, 46:21, 51:20, 57:21, 62:11,

74:9, 76:3

learning [15] - 11:15,
15:10, 38:24, 41:18,
46:19, 48:9, 49:15,
53:6, 53:11, 54:13,
63:15, 64:23, 65:12,

71:20, 72:15 **leave** [2] - 47:16, 47:20

led [1] - 13:16 Lee [1] - 2:9

Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-26 Filed 08/17/16 Page 29 of 33 PageID #:

left [1] - 63:10 light [1] - 15:8 likely [4] - 14:17, 59:23, 63:2, 63:21 Lindenhurst [1] - 7:24 line [2] - 19:24, 47:13 lines [3] - 8:16, 19:15, 46:3 listed [1] - 86:19 litigation [1] - 18:16 **LLP** [1] - 2:3 LN [1] - 90:6 location [4] - 71:18, 72:16, 74:8, 74:19 look [12] - 7:4, 8:15, 14:22, 19:2, 19:5, 20:8, 23:12, 30:8, 30:12, 42:5, 50:5, 70:25 looked [4] - 28:14, 28:16, 28:20, 28:22 looking [9] - 43:23, 45:11, 65:6, 67:20, 68:2, 76:6, 77:4, 77:11, 77:16 looks [7] - 6:6, 7:15, 18:3, 19:18, 22:23, 47:15, 86:5 lover [1] - 84:12

М

male [2] - 87:17, 87:20 man [3] - 13:2, 13:8, 75:5 mark [7] - 5:20, 8:4, 13:20, 22:14, 25:18, 29:24, 43:7 marked [9] - 5:23, 6:3, 8:7, 13:23, 18:2, 22:17, 25:20, 30:3, 43:10 marks [5] - 18:4, 18:7, 18:11, 80:12 marriage [1] - 91:10 Martin [18] - 4:20, 54:2, 54:9, 54:17, 63:17, 63:23, 64:7, 65:14, 69:14, 69:21, 70:11, 71:15, 71:22, 72:7, 73:15, 73:21, 88:7, 90:2 **MARTIN** [2] - 1:4, 2:12 master [1] - 76:12 match [1] - 69:2 Mather [12] - 9:5, 9:6, 11:9, 15:11, 19:9, 48:23, 53:19, 54:14,

55:2, 57:21, 70:19,

85:25 matter [5] - 19:25, 20:2, 20:18, 52:8, 91:13 McCready [18] - 1:7, 10:2, 11:5, 53:16, 53:22, 54:2, 63:16, 64:17, 65:13, 69:4, 69:15, 69:22, 71:21, 72:8, 73:14, 73:22, 74:6, 90:3 McCreedies [1] - 70:8 **McLELHONE** [1] - 1:8 mean [8] - 6:15, 15:25, 16:9, 34:22, 58:22, 82:22, 83:4, 84:8 means [1] - 69:9 meant [2] - 68:19, 69:10 medical [1] - 69:2 meeting [4] - 37:24, 38:3, 65:9, 68:12 member [4] - 37:22, 38:14, 41:19, 84:13 members [1] - 38:4 memorandum [1] -27:4 mentioned [3] - 1:19, 61:10, 61:19 met [2] - 13:2, 68:8 50:8, 75:16, 87:15 41:21, 42:2, 42:22, 51:6, 51:14, 51:21, 53:4, 53:7, 53:12, 68:3, 84:23, 85:4, 85:10

metal [2] - 66:22, 68:2 middle [4] - 26:6, might [17] - 27:18, 56:10, 61:17, 67:21, Mike [2] - 4:10, 90:4 MIKE [2] - 1:16, 88:20 mind [4] - 16:13, 25:4, 58:21, 59:24 minutes [1] - 16:10 missing [1] - 65:4 misunderstood [1] -82:2 Mitchell [1] - 37:2 MITCHELL [45] - 2:10, 4:13, 15:19, 17:18, 17:24, 18:10, 18:17, 22:2, 24:2, 24:11, 24:21, 26:25, 29:13, 31:6, 32:8, 35:7, 35:16, 35:22, 36:6, 36:10, 36:23, 38:21, 41:4, 41:15, 44:5,

48:19, 53:2, 54:5,

57:24, 58:19, 60:4,

60:10, **62**62:24, 69:6, 71:25, 72:17, 73:17, 74:11, 77:25, 78:11, 78:18, 81:21, 83:20. 84:18 moment [4] - 7:5, 52:19, 60:24, 82:3 money [1] - 50:22 Mongan [1] - 66:13 Monti [1] - 47:15 morning [17] - 6:22, 8:21, 12:24, 19:8, 34:20, 35:15, 36:5, 37:6, 48:3, 48:5, 48:18, 48:24, 49:25, 50:12, 53:15, 53:17, 53:18 most [4] - 60:13, 60:16, 63:2, 63:5 mother [2] - 13:10, 13:12 mother's [1] - 75:25 motivation [1] - 73:11 motive [2] - 51:6, 51:9 move [1] - 47:12 **MR** [61] - 4:13, 4:18, 8:4, 13:20, 15:19, 17:18, 17:24, 18:10, 18:12, 18:17, 22:2, 22:14, 24:2, 24:11, 24:21, 25:17, 26:25, 27:6, 29:13, 29:24, 31:6, 32:8, 35:7, 35:16, 35:18, 35:22, 35:25, 36:6, 36:8, 36:10, 36:22, 36:23, 36:25, 38:21, 41:4, 41:15, 43:7, 44:5, 48:19, 53:2, 54:5, 54:21, 57:24, 58:19, 60:4, 60:10, 62:6, 62:24, 69:6, 71:25, 72:17, 73:17, 74:11, 77:25, 78:11, 78:18, 81:21, 83:20, 84:18, 85:13, 88:10 multiple [3] - 83:8, 83:11, 83:17 murder [11] - 10:9, 26:13, 59:12, 59:23, 67:6, 67:22, 67:25,

Myron [7] - 48:23, 53:6, 65:9, 71:13 Ν name [5] - 4:8, 4:19,

13:7, 38:14, 48:23 Name [1] - 90:2 named [2] - 27:4, 70:8 narrow [1] - 56:4 nature [1] - 83:7 **near** [1] - 49:18 necessarily [1] - 39:12 neck [4] - 17:5, 20:15, 23:17, 30:20 **need** [3] - 6:2, 14:2, 43:13

needed [2] - 72:9, 74:7

NEUFELD [1] - 2:3 never [7] - 27:11, 59:12, 74:23, 79:19, 79:24, 81:14, 83:16 NEW [2] - 1:2, 1:2 new [1] - 70:12 New [8] - 1:13, 1:21, 2:5, 2:9, 4:4, 25:23, 55:22, 91:4

next [8] - 10:9, 12:3, 19:15, 19:24, 63:12, 68:15, 72:22, 86:9 nightgown [2] - 15:8, 15:18

Non [1] - 1:16 Non-Party [1] - 1:16 nonresponsive [1] -13:13

NORMAN [1] - 1:7 **NOTARY** [2] - 88:25, 90:25

Notary [4] - 1:20, 3:14, 4:3, 91:3 note [3] - 20:23,

29:16, 46:24 notebook [3] - 44:9, 44:17, 44:18

noted [6] - 14:25, 15:7, 17:5, 17:7, 54:24, 88:17

Notes [2] - 89:10, 89:17

notes [44] - 6:5, 6:10, 6:11, 6:13, 6:18, 6:19, 6:25, 7:13, 14:13, 19:3, 19:7,

21:16, 21:25, 28:25, 29:10, 39:7, 39:19, 43:15, 43:18, 43:21,

50:17, 50:21, 51:20,

45:10, 45:14, 45:24, 47:23, 68:4, 74:14, 75:14, 76:24, 77:4, 77:11, 77:16, 78:4, 85:18, 85:23, 86:10 Notice [1] - 1:19 notice [7] - 71:13, 71:23, 72:9, 72:12, 73:8, 74:23, 87:23 noticed [1] - 76:17 **NOW**[1] - 90:6 number [4] - 59:19, 68:5, 71:17, 84:16 numerous [1] - 84:4 0

43:24, 44:16, 44:22, 5

45:7, 45:8, 45:9,

object [31] - 15:19, 17:18, 22:2, 24:2, 26:10, 26:25, 29:13, 35:16, 36:18, 36:21, 37:3, 38:21, 41:15, 48:19, 53:2, 54:5, 57:24, 58:19, 60:4, 60:10, 61:10, 62:6, 62:24, 69:6, 71:25, 72:17, 73:17, 74:11, 77:25, 78:11, 78:18 objection [9] - 24:11, 24:21, 27:7, 31:6, 32:8, 36:14, 81:21, 83:20, 84:18 objectionable [1] -35:19 objections [1] - 3:9 **observation** [6] - 24:9, 60:23, 61:5, 77:5, 77:18, 77:21 **observe** [2] - 21:2, 55:15 **observed** [1] - 17:9 obviously [1] - 35:8 occur [1] - 28:11 occurred [6] - 16:19, 44:14, 57:9, 58:4, 58:9, 61:25 occurs [2] - 10:7, 10:8 **OF** [4] - 1:2, 1:7, 2:8, 90:2 offering [1] - 49:21 office [2] - 65:10, 69:2 officer [2] - 7:14, 10:13 Officer [1] - 66:13 **OFFICERS** [1] - 1:8 **officers** [1] - 88:2 Old [2] - 1:12, 2:4

69:3, 69:23, 73:16,

murdering [1] - 71:9

murders [12] - 51:7,

51:10, 51:22, 53:8,

53:13, 57:8, 63:18,

82:18, 84:24, 85:5,

85:9, 85:11

must [1] - 81:12

74:10

Olin [1] - 11:12 once [2] - 71:12, 72:20 one [28] - 10:25, 13:6, 16:16, 17:25, 28:3, 28:14, 37:8, 40:21, 41:6, 41:7, 41:9, 44:2, 44:16, 44:17, 45:13, 51:25, 52:2, 67:18, 67:25, 68:11, 69:9, 69:20, 77:15, 77:20, 81:3, 82:13, 86:16, 87:8 ongoing [1] - 44:20 open [1] - 15:6 opinion [2] - 81:3, 81:5 opportunity [2] - 23:2, 55:14 orally [1] - 40:8 order [2] - 10:9, 45:5 original [1] - 18:14 originally [2] - 6:17, 10:12 ought [1] - 80:24 **outcome** [1] - 91:13 outside [1] - 13:2 overkill [3] - 83:3, 83:5, 84:3 owed [1] - 50:22 own [7] - 16:13, 23:9, 59:24, 77:5, 77:18, 77:21, 84:6

P

page [24] - 7:5, 8:12, 19:5, 20:8, 26:5, 44:3, 45:7, 45:8, 45:9, 45:10, 45:23, 47:14, 50:9, 55:24, 68:6, 68:7, 71:5, 74:15, 75:16, 79:5, 86:7, 86:9, 86:20, 87:16 Page [14] - 10:5, 14:22, 30:8, 34:9, 35:3, 42:6, 44:4, 45:18, 50:6, 71:5, 79:5, 85:21, 89:4, 89:9 pages [4] - 8:10, 45:22, 47:12 pair [1] - 70:6 pale [1] - 15:5 paperwork [1] - 49:22 paragraph [15] -14:23, 16:23, 17:4, 20:9, 23:13, 26:6, 26:7, 30:12, 30:21,

55:25, 57:7, 71:6, 71:7, 79:4, 80:7 parents [2] - 71:9, 84:3 part [6] - 13:10, 13:11, 36:13, 39:10, 39:15, 75:11 partial [1] - 14:23 participated [1] -70:19 participation [1] -88:12 particular [6] - 9:20, 9:22, 10:10, 44:10, 44:15, 73:23 parties [2] - 3:4, 91:10 partner [1] - 7:23 partner's [2] - 39:14, 39:19 Party [1] - 1:16 passion [1] - 82:18 patrolman [1] - 12:8 Patrolman [1] - 12:14 payment [1] - 49:18 pen [1] - 18:3 pending [3] - 36:2, 36:9, 36:20 people [5] - 12:18, 13:7, 40:24, 66:23, 86:17 perpetrator [1] - 83:18 person [9] - 10:9, 42:21, 54:3, 54:10, 54:18, 67:14, 83:12, 83:25, 87:24 personal [3] - 20:24, 77:18, 77:21

personally [1] - 60:6 pertain [1] - 44:22 **Pfalzgraf** [34] - 7:23, 9:4, 11:24, 12:11, 22:11, 23:21, 24:5, 25:12, 34:11, 38:8, 38:12, 38:17, 40:4, 40:15, 40:22, 42:11, 42:16, 46:7, 46:18, 46:20, 47:2, 47:24, 48:2, 49:7, 50:13, 51:11, 53:22, 53:25, 54:9, 56:23, 61:14, 61:17, 66:15, 69:13

Pfalzgraf's [3] - 22:21, 23:2, 39:7 **PG** [1] - 90:6 **phone** [7] - 5:14, 5:16, 7:7, 7:17, 7:21, 64:21, 64:24

photos [2] - 55:11, 70:23

phrase [3] - 21:21,

22:7, 2**4827** phrases [1] - 18:5 physician [1] - 18:24 pick [1] - 7:23

picked [1] - 9:4 place [3] - 1:20, 44:16, 64:21

Plaintiff [4] - 1:5, 1:17, 2:4, 4:20

Plaintiff's [7] - 5:22, 8:6, 13:22, 22:16, 30:2, 43:9, 89:9

play [1] - 36:11 point [29] - 4:22, 5:8, 8:2, 9:11, 18:15,

22:24, 38:9, 39:5, 46:17, 46:20, 46:25, 49:16, 53:6, 53:11, 53:15, 59:14, 63:14,

64:3, 64:18, 65:3, 65:5, 65:8, 65:19,

69:13, 70:4, 71:21, 72:6, 72:8 **POLICE** [1] - 1:8

police [7] - 57:10, 58:5, 58:10, 62:2,

64:3, 71:11, 88:2 Police [1] - 66:13

POLLACK [19] - 2:5, 4:7, 4:18, 8:4, 13:20, 18:12, 22:14, 25:17, 27:6, 29:24, 35:18,

35:25, 36:8, 36:22, 36:25, 43:7, 54:21,

85:13, 88:10 Pollack [3] - 4:19,

41:5, 89:6 portion [2] - 35:8,

36:16 possibilities [1] -

84:23

possibility [2] - 85:4, 85:10

possible [3] - 7:18, 87:4, 87:5

possibly [2] - 33:22, 61:11

potential [2] - 67:24, 70:17

potentially [1] - 67:22 practice [10] - 14:19,

37:15, 37:17, 39:11, 39:15, 40:3, 40:5,

44:9, 62:10, 62:20 precluded [5] - 71:11,

71:16, 72:14, 73:8, 74:21

preparation [2] -14:14, 23:6

prepare [1] - 14:6

prepared [1] - 14:4 preposterous [3] -80:2, 80:6, 80:9 **PRESENT** [1] - 2:11 present [8] - 40:4, 40:16, 63:23, 64:6, 64:20, 70:5, 70:16, 87:3 presently [1] - 43:20 pretty [1] - 17:21 previous [3] - 14:11, 36:7, 36:12 previously [2] - 26:12, 26:23 print [1] - 76:25 problem [1] - 72:5 **Procedure** [1] - 1:18 produce [1] - 4:17 **provide** [1] - 49:22 PUBLIC [2] - 88:25, 90:25 Public [4] - 1:21, 3:14,

4:4, 91:3 **pupil** [1] - 15:5 purported [1] - 69:3

pursuant [1] - 1:18 put [9] - 29:15, 71:13, 71:23, 72:8, 72:12,

73:8, 74:22, 75:10, 80:18

Q

putting [1] - 43:19

quarters [2] - 14:24,

17:3 questioning [2] -71:15, 71:24

questions [2] - 73:12,

74:2 quotation [1] - 80:11

quotations [2] - 79:10, 79:22

R

rage [1] - 82:20 rather [1] - 13:18 **ray** [10] - 28:14, 28:16, 28:17, 28:20, 28:22, 29:2, 29:5, 29:9, 29:12 rays [2] - 28:9, 28:10 razor [5] - 56:5, 56:17, 58:16, 60:9, 60:17 razor-type [5] - 56:5, 56:17, 58:16, 60:9,

60:17

READ [1] - 90:6 read [9] - 6:7, 9:24, 19:14, 34:13, 46:3, 47:13, 66:6, 75:19, 87:18 reading [2] - 10:4, 72:4 **READS** [1] - 90:6 really [13] - 40:19, 45:12, 67:23, 68:14, 73:15, 74:3, 74:9, 74:13, 75:7, 75:23, 76:23, 77:9, 80:20 **REASON** [1] - 90:6 reasoning [1] - 82:14 reassign [1] - 10:18 received [4] - 5:14, 5:16, 7:14, 8:17 receiving [1] - 7:21 recess [2] - 54:23, 85:16 reclarify [2] - 72:3, 73:19

reach [1] - 28:6

reached [1] - 61:5

recognize [4] - 6:5, 14:3, 43:14, 43:20 recollection [23] - 7:8, 7:12, 8:20, 10:3, 10:25, 15:14, 17:11, 21:7, 22:6, 23:11, 23:20, 25:16, 32:4, 34:17, 35:10, 36:18, 37:12, 43:2, 46:16, 48:6, 51:18, 66:25, 76:21

record [5] - 4:8, 4:14, 17:25, 36:24, 91:7 recovered [1] - 67:7 red [1] - 15:5 refer [1] - 8:12 **reference** [1] - 47:18

referenced [2] - 69:3, 69:21

references [1] - 86:7 referring [1] - 82:7 reflect [3] - 29:2, 29:5, 29:11

reflected [1] - 21:25 reflecting [6] - 21:17, 23:24, 77:5, 77:6, 77:17, 77:18

reflects [1] - 71:8 refresh [11] - 8:19, 10:24, 15:13, 17:10, 23:19, 35:9, 36:17, 37:11, 46:15, 51:17,

refreshes [2] - 10:3, 43:2

66:24

Case 2:09-cv-0	1207-JS-AYS Doci	ument 184-26 Filed	 08/17/16 Page 31	of 33 PageID #:
regarding [1] - 68:9	7:24	10:17, 482 \$56:13,	47:10, 49:4, 49:12,	skull [10] - 19:23,
Rein [10] - 63:16,	respect [1] - 26:2	62:23, 63:4, 63:8,	49:18, 50:23	20:3, 20:12, 21:13,
64:17, 65:13, 69:5,	respective [1] - 3:4	65:23, 66:9, 66:18,	Seymour's [3] - 56:3,	23:17, 23:18, 30:17,
69:15, 69:22, 72:7,	result [4] - 7:20,	66:22, 67:16, 70:22,	57:10, 57:14	30:25, 31:11, 32:3
73:15, 73:22, 74:6	72:14, 82:13, 82:15	76:13, 87:16, 88:2	shall [2] - 3:6, 3:10	slash [1] - 19:16
REIN [1] - 1:7	retired [1] - 4:16	scenes [1] - 59:12	shape [1] - 30:25	slashed [1] - 23:16
Rein's [1] - 71:22	returned [2] - 66:9,	Schaefer [1] - 66:12	shaped [2] - 21:13,	slashes [1] - 56:4
related [6] - 49:22,	66:17	SCHECK [1] - 2:3	32:2	slashing [1] - 83:6
83:2, 84:7, 84:8,	review [3] - 23:2, 39:6,	scoundrels [2] -	shapes [3] - 68:21,	slits [1] - 60:21
84:12, 91:9	39:19	79:20, 79:21	68:22, 69:11	someone [6] - 16:14,
relating [1] - 66:20	reviewing [2] - 39:13,	screen [1] - 28:13	shared [1] - 40:23	41:25, 62:22, 79:11,
relationship [1] -	42:25	sealing [1] - 3:5	Shari [3] - 63:24, 64:8,	82:25, 83:24
84:10	RICHARD [1] - 1:8	search [1] - 66:21	64:18	sometime [2] - 16:19,
relative [2] - 83:18,	ridiculous [3] - 79:11,	Seaside [3] - 7:19,	sharp [6] - 56:19,	34:19
84:9	81:6, 81:10	12:24, 66:10	58:24, 60:14, 60:17,	sometimes [1] - 83:23
relay [3] - 34:12,	right-hand [3] - 8:13,	second [7] - 19:5,	61:10, 65:16	somewhat [1] - 15:14
53:25, 62:12	30:9, 42:7	20:9, 30:12, 55:24,	SHEET [1] - 90:2	somewhere [1] -
relayed [8] - 27:19,	ring [1] - 52:16	77:10, 79:6	sheet [1] - 75:24	77:22
27:20, 29:22, 34:18,	Road [2] - 1:12, 2:4	section [1] - 67:19	sheets [4] - 75:17,	sorry [6] - 10:4, 40:10,
43:3, 52:4, 52:20,	Robert [6] - 11:12,	see [7] - 6:9, 16:16,	76:4, 76:11, 77:24	45:12, 53:18, 59:4,
87:12	19:11, 23:13, 30:15,	26:17, 28:7, 35:6,	shirt [1] - 87:21	72:4
relaying [2] - 14:10, 52:6	66:14, 66:17	76:11, 83:23	shoes [2] - 87:22, 87:23	sort [1] - 40:6
remember [18] - 9:2,	ROBERT [1] - 1:7 ROE [1] - 1:8	seeing [3] - 57:16, 57:17, 74:18	Shore [7] - 25:2,	source [5] - 38:25,
12:6, 13:19, 43:20,	Ron [3] - 37:22, 37:24,	seem [1] - 23:24	26:12, 26:23, 28:2,	41:11, 41:19, 54:14, 75:21
52:6, 57:15, 57:17,	38:14	Seits [1] - 7:15	28:4, 28:18, 28:23	speaker [1] - 87:7
63:10, 65:5, 69:10,	Ronald [4] - 42:12,	sense [1] - 5:5	shortly [1] - 51:2	speaking [14] - 12:17,
73:3, 74:20, 76:7,	42:15, 43:5, 53:11	sentence [2] - 31:24,	shorts [1] - 87:22	27:7, 29:21, 34:25,
77:9, 78:5, 82:6,	room [7] - 12:7, 13:4,	79:6	SHOULD [1] - 90:6	35:14, 36:3, 37:5,
86:18, 86:21	20:11, 23:15, 30:14,	separate [2] - 38:9	showed [3] - 28:10,	37:21, 49:2, 53:20,
reneging [1] - 42:17	30:16, 57:16	separated [1] - 38:11	28:13, 28:21	73:3, 74:20, 86:21,
repeat [5] - 24:13,	Roth [31] - 12:7,	separately [1] - 86:23	showing [1] - 30:10	87:2
32:22, 45:4, 58:7,	12:11, 18:21, 18:23,	September [19] - 5:8,	shown [1] - 70:23	specifically [4] -
63:25	19:8, 19:12, 20:10,	6:23, 14:8, 22:22,	sign [1] - 20:5	45:14, 51:8, 57:3,
repelling [2] - 66:23,	20:23, 21:10, 21:21,	44:4, 45:15, 48:5,	Signature [1] - 90:21	61:16
67:20	22:7, 23:14, 23:22,	53:19, 55:3, 63:4,	signed [3] - 3:13,	spend [2] - 55:17,
rephrase [2] - 4:25,	24:6, 24:14, 24:18,	63:15, 65:24, 66:2,	3:15, 72:23	63:4
40:9	28:21, 29:20, 29:23,	66:8, 67:2, 67:5,	significance [9] -	spent [1] - 63:12
report [28] - 14:3,	30:15, 30:22, 31:3,	67:11, 70:20, 76:13	15:15, 15:21, 15:23,	spoken [2] - 42:12,
14:7, 14:15, 14:23,	31:21, 31:24, 32:5,	sequence [1] - 45:2	17:14, 24:19, 58:18,	49:7
20:8, 20:9, 22:21,	32:16, 32:20, 34:6,	Sergeant [19] - 5:18,	60:2, 62:4, 78:9	Squad [1] - 66:16
23:3, 23:6, 29:4, 29:11, 30:11, 31:23,	37:19	7:15, 8:11, 8:21,	significant [6] - 17:16,	squad [1] - 11:13
32:10, 34:14, 38:12,	Roth's [1] - 25:13 Rother [12] - 37:22,	9:11, 10:17, 29:18,	24:9, 24:24, 25:14,	standing [1] - 86:25
50:11, 50:16, 55:21,	37:25, 38:14, 38:17,	30:7, 35:2, 35:14, 36:4, 37:5, 41:24,	62:8, 62:12	start [6] - 5:7, 42:6, 68:4, 74:15, 85:18,
66:7, 71:7, 75:6,	41:12, 42:12, 42:15,	43:4, 49:25, 51:19,	similar [9] - 25:3, 27:24, 27:25, 28:6,	86:10
75:10, 78:25, 79:22,	43:5, 53:11, 63:24,	52:7, 57:5, 66:14	28:14, 28:16, 28:22,	started [1] - 86:3
80:18, 80:21, 81:17	64:9, 64:19	serious [1] - 20:19	33:12, 33:13	starting [3] - 30:8,
Report [2] - 89:13,	round [3] - 27:16,	services [1] - 67:19	similarity [1] - 29:8	50:6, 50:8
89:14	33:15, 33:18	set [2] - 91:6, 91:15	simple [2] - 36:21,	starts [1] - 35:4
reported [7] - 38:18,	rule [1] - 10:11	several [3] - 8:9,	36:25	State [11] - 1:21, 4:4,
57:9, 58:5, 58:10,	Rules [1] - 1:18	13:17, 16:11	simply [5] - 30:5,	4:8, 4:11, 25:23,
62:2, 63:16, 67:14		severe [2] - 11:19,	42:25, 43:14, 52:11,	27:9, 55:21, 71:2,
reports [3] - 23:9,	S	23:17	82:12	72:25, 78:25, 91:4
39:14, 39:22		Seymour [22] - 11:18,	sister [3] - 64:8,	statement [8] - 24:19,
represent [1] - 4:20	saw [1] - 76:14	16:25, 17:12, 20:5,	64:19, 70:7	25:13, 27:9, 68:15,
represented [2] - 49:3,	scalp [2] - 15:2, 15:17	21:8, 24:7, 26:17,	sisters [1] - 70:6	71:12, 79:17, 80:11,
49:12	Scene [1] - 55:9	26:21, 27:21, 28:7,	sit [1] - 21:20	80:14
reserved [1] - 3:10 residence [2] - 5:13,	scene [16] - 10:16,	28:21, 38:18, 38:25,	six [1] - 38:20	statements [3] - 69:4,
1631061106 [2] - 0.10,		46:5, 46:12, 46:22,	sixth [1] - 11:13	69:22, 79:15

Case 2:09-cv-01207-JS-AYS Document 184-26 Filed 08/17/16 Page 32 of 33 PageID #: **STATES** [1] - 1:2 13:15, 15:17, 16:25, today [5] 48220, 22:6, up [6] - 7:23, 9:4, 10:9, white [2] - 87:20, 17:12, 17:22, 23:14, 32:12, 63:19, 88:12 87:21 stating [1] - 31:21 78:15, 78:21, 81:15 step [1] - 72:22 24:7, 26:2, 26:8, together [4] - 38:8, upper [3] - 8:13, 30:8, **whole** [1] - 63:6 38:4, 38:18, 38:25, wife [2] - 25:3, 26:14 steps [1] - 48:13 39:21, 86:23, 86:24 42:7 44:17, 44:23, 46:13, took [7] - 6:19, 10:18, stepsister [2] - 64:8, wish [1] - 75:2 46:22, 47:8, 47:10, 19:7, 43:15, 43:16, V 64:19 withdrawing [2] -48:4, 48:16, 49:4, 64:21, 73:15 36:7, 36:12 Steuerman [28] -49:8, 49:18, 50:3, top [4] - 8:13, 19:11, Witness [2] - 1:17, 38:19, 39:2, 41:13, vague [1] - 77:2 50:22, 50:23, 51:21, 68:6, 68:7 41:20, 42:2, 42:17, 90:4 vaguely [3] - 61:15, 53:8, 53:13, 54:3, towards [1] - 75:16 42:22, 46:5, 46:9, **WITNESS** [4] - 5:6, 67:3, 76:7 54:9, 54:18, 55:5, 46:10, 46:22, 47:9, towel [1] - 17:4 18:8, 88:13, 91:14 vain [1] - 42:20 55:7, 58:16, 63:17, 47:15, 48:2, 48:16, trace [1] - 66:19 witness [2] - 91:5, various [3] - 40:24, 63:23, 64:7, 65:14, 48:17, 49:17, 50:2, training [1] - 82:24 91:8 49:4, 49:12 69:14, 70:11, 71:8, 50:22, 51:5, 51:13, transcript [3] - 42:6, witnessed [1] - 20:2 verbally [1] - 71:9 71:15, 71:17, 71:22, 50:6, 91:7 51:20, 53:7, 53:12, witnesses [2] - 70:17, verbatim [1] - 22:7 72:7, 73:7, 73:15, 65:4, 84:23, 85:4, TRANSCRIPT [1] -70:23 verify [1] - 6:9 73:22, 82:18, 84:24, 85:10 90:2 word [2] - 79:19, vicious [2] - 83:10, 85:5, 85:9, 88:8, **STIPULATED** [3] - 3:3, trauma [1] - 26:9 79:23 83:17 90:2 3:8, 3:12 trial [1] - 3:11 words [8] - 44:16, **TANKLEFF** [2] - 1:4, viciousness [2] -STIPULATIONS [1] true [3] - 44:21, 51:3, 45:6, 51:16, 52:3, 82:23, 83:7 2:12 3:2 91:7 54:4, 54:10, 54:18, Tankleff's [10] - 21:8, victim [13] - 11:17, stop [2] - 72:21, 88:3 56:8 try [1] - 4:15 15:7, 17:8, 20:10, 26:17, 26:21, 27:22, strictly [1] - 10:14 wound [5] - 20:18, trying [2] - 19:18, 28:8, 55:15, 55:18, 20:20, 20:24, 26:22, strongly [1] - 79:6 25:7, 27:22, 33:9, 36:10 27:23, 27:25, 30:16, 56:12, 64:15, 69:21 stuck [1] - 25:4 68:9 turn [2] - 10:21, 45:18 83:19, 84:10 Tankleffs [4] - 26:15, subject [1] - 52:8 turning [1] - 42:18 wounds [14] - 21:3, victim's [6] - 15:2, 41:14, 71:14, 84:17 Subscribed [2] -21:8, 26:18, 26:21, twenties [1] - 87:20 15:3, 17:5, 20:15, team [1] - 9:19 88:22, 90:23 two [3] - 36:13, 68:20, 28:8, 56:3, 58:15, telephone [2] - 64:9, 21:3, 30:20 substantial [1] - 49:17 59:10, 59:18, 59:21, 68:21 victims [5] - 83:2, 64:18 suffered [1] - 26:8 60:8, 60:19, 68:25, two-part [1] - 36:13 83:13, 84:7, 84:14, tend [1] - 13:11 69:16 **Suffolk** [2] - 5:10, 90:3 type [37] - 21:12, 84:16 terms [2] - 9:15, 16:9 write [1] - 6:18 SUFFOLK [3] - 1:7, 21:17, 21:22, 22:8, videotaped [1] - 71:10 Terre [2] - 7:19, 12:24 writing [1] - 40:7 1:8, 2:8 24:8, 24:16, 24:17, view [10] - 21:7, 41:20, testified [1] - 4:5 written [5] - 18:4, suggest [1] - 16:19 25:7, 25:9, 25:13, 53:7, 53:12, 69:14, **TESTIMONY** [1] - 89:3 suggested [1] - 42:21 26:10, 27:15, 30:24, 18:5, 19:22, 66:7, 72:13, 73:6, 81:11, testimony [6] - 8:11, 71:12 suggests [2] - 77:11, 31:5, 31:9, 31:10, 81:12, 87:9 9:25, 10:20, 43:2, wrote [5] - 6:15, 75:5, 83:11 31:16, 31:25, 32:6, viewed [1] - 54:2 91:5, 91:8 75:6, 81:16, 81:17 summary [1] - 40:6 32:15, 32:25, 33:4, voiced [1] - 32:16 **Testimony** [2] - 89:12, 33:11, 33:14, 33:20, Supplemental [2] **vs** [1] - 90:2 89:16 X 89:13, 89:14 34:3, 56:5, 56:17, **THAT** [3] - 91:5, 91:7, 58:16, 58:24, 60:9, supplemental [11] -W 91:12 14:3, 14:22, 20:7, 60:17, 69:18, 71:18, X-ray [10] - 28:14, **THE** [6] - 1:2, 1:7, 5:6, 72:16, 74:7, 74:19 22:21, 23:3, 29:4, 28:16, 28:17, 28:20, 18:8, 88:13, 90:2 typical [1] - 14:18 29:11, 30:11, 31:23, waiting [1] - 87:17 28:22, 29:2, 29:5, thin [1] - 87:21 39:14, 66:6 waived [1] - 3:7 29:9, 29:12 thinking [1] - 78:14 U supposed [1] - 49:17 weapon [6] - 59:23, X-rays [2] - 28:9, third [3] - 23:13, **surmise** [1] - 24:6 67:22, 67:25, 69:3, 28:10 42:20, 70:8 **surmised** [1] - 57:8 69:23, 74:10 unable [1] - 21:2 threatened [2] - 38:19, **survive** [1] - 20:21 weapons [9] - 67:6, Y under [1] - 19:22 39:2 Swiss [1] - 67:15 71:18, 72:16, 73:9, undersigned [4] three [9] - 14:24, 17:3, sworn [5] - 3:15, 4:3, 73:13, 73:16, 74:8, 21:2, 30:13, 66:9, 19:15, 46:3, 70:6, 88:22, 90:23, 91:6 74:20, 74:24 **Yaphank** [1] - 4:12 66:11 70:10, 86:19, 87:3, years [9] - 5:11, 20:25, wearing [2] - 15:8, understood [2] - 5:4, 87:13 Т 28:15, 59:2, 59:3, 87:23 73:20 throat [5] - 11:20, 59:6, 59:15, 67:3, weeks [2] - 38:20, undertake [1] - 78:15 19:16, 20:13, 23:15, 84:16 39:3 T-shirt [1] - 87:21 **UNITED** [1] - 1:2 30:19 **YORK** [2] - 1:2, 1:2 welcome [1] - 88:14 Tankleff [62] - 4:21, unknown [2] - 87:22 throats [1] - 83:6 wet [1] - 16:17 **York** [8] - 1:13, 1:21, 5:13, 6:20, 10:22, tis [1] - 22:14 unresponsive [1] -2:5, 2:9, 4:4, 25:23, WHEREOF [1] - 91:14 11:6, 11:18, 13:9, TO [1] - 89:3 15:4 55:22, 91:4

oung [5] - 15.2, 15.6

87:17

yourself [5] - 21:8, 32:23, 33:8, 76:4,

76:11